### January 5, 1948

### A HEAVY RESPONSIBILITY

NINETEEN FORTY SEVEN was the "time of trouble" for Zone 1. The mistakes and the oversights which led to that trouble had been made earlier, but not until last spring did the dire effects begin to come sharply to public notice.

Nineteen Forty Eight, we hope and trust, will be a very different sort of year for this water project, though for a generation we shall be paying the bill for past blunders.

To insure that 1948 does make a constructive record in which the Zone 1 people can take satisfaction, the flood control board will need to show a great deal more alertness and savvy than it did, say, in 1946. Some of its individual members will have to slough off old prejudices, easy-going notions; get tough, and realize they are trustees directing a multi-million dollar undertaking.

They must bring the much-jinxed Matilija dam to completion, prepare for the proper distribution of its water. Then, with the help of the consultant board, determine how best to proceed with the Casitas dam and the rest of the project.

About that time, of course, the dollar question will inject itself painfully. So grievously has the zone's money been over-spent on the Matilija dam, there is not enough left for the Casitas. Yet the Casitas dam is urgently needed; sooner or later, the people will have to vote it. Before they vote it, they will demand and must be given a sound plan formulated by men in whom they have confidence, and an accurate cost estimate on which they can rely.

To accomplish all this is the responsibility of the supervisors. It is a heavy responsibility. They should be given the earnest support, and should solicit the earnest support, of the people of the area.

# **Bailey Reports on** Matilija Grouting

Water, now cooler than origi- ed in some of these holes was renally, issuing from several of the ported to be seven or eight pounds drill holes near the center of per square inch but that the pres-Matilija dam suggests that there per square inch during the last is a little leakage from the res-ervoir, Dr. Thomas Bailey de-clared in his third report on grouting to the board of super-dye test. visors of the county flood control district.

In his written report, presented yesterday afternoon to the supervisors, the county consultant said the flow of water from several drill holes near the center of the dam has increased consider-

### AWAIT DYE TEST

Dr. Bailey pointed out that the dye test, postponed until grout-ing is completed, should show definitely, however, whether much reservoir leakage is taking place. It is quite possible, he said, that grouting the holes already drilled and open will stop most of this suspected leakage.

the dam has increased consider-ably since early or middle Dec-ember and that water, in his opinion, is cooler than it was pre-viously. He also declared that the earlier water pressures measur-In the apron area, only one of they are at 25 feet—and bottomed vertically beneath the dam so as to intersect the fractures that are supplying the water issuing from the numerous grout holes and core holes at the junction of the dam and apron. As much of the sulphur water as possible should be conducted from beneath the dam so that it comes out near the downstream edge of the apron where it can not do much damage, he said, pointing out that sulphur water is likely to be injurious to concrete; if it is in contact with it for a long time it may cause disintegration or even solution of the cement.

### MORE GROUT NEEDED

The consultant also reported that on Sunday he talked, with two members of the state division of dams on grouting progress and that the senior inspector expressed the opinion that more grout was needed in the crushed rock beneath the central part of the dam to make a more solid, satis-factory foundation under the structure and to prevent leakage from the reservoir.

"The senior inspector seemed to feel that the crushed and frac-tured rock floor would take considerably more grout and agreed with me that the 45 or 50 open drill holes and core holes located at the downstream edge of the dam should be thoroughly grouted and the underground cavities around them filled with cement as quickly as possible, before the first heavy rain if it can be done,' Dr. Bailey said.

His report also shows that grouting of the vertical joints between the various concrete blocks of the dam is nearly com-pleted. Grouting now is to be carried on both day and night. Dr. Bailey also announced that the open drill holes are being wash-ed and blown out and that in so doing the loose shaly cuttings are being cleaned out, allowing grout to penetrate to the bottom of the holes and into the numerous fracture planes. He declared communication between many of the bore holes has been proved by capping certain holes and obtaining greater flows of sulphur water

# DAM REPORTS SENT WARREN

Gov. Earl Warren has had the problems of Matilija dam brought to his attention. That was evident from a copy of a letter read to county flood control supervisors yesterday.

To Warren went a letter and several reports and newspaper clippings from William Freeman, rancher-attorney. Freeman was unavailable for comment today as to why he had forwarded the data to Warren. It is known, however, that he is chairman of the water conservation committee of the Ventura County Farm bureau. LETTERS EXCHANGED

The governor passed on Free-man's information and letter to Edward Hyatt, state engineer, for answer, and Hyatt, in turn, sent to the supervisors a copy of the answer he had written to Free-man. In his letter, Hyatt pointed and the state dams department. out that the state dams department through its state engineer is re-sponsible for dams and the safeguarding of life and property. He also reported that at the

present time further requirements for the dam don't seem to be necessary prior to the dam's ap-proval by the state for use; he pointed out that he pointed out that he can not pre-dict unseen developments and thus can not assure that no further re-quirements will be ordered to make the dam safe.

### EXPLAINS SETUP

Hyatt explained that during the am the state engineer's office has supervised the project, has conducted many inspections and has made changes in the interest of safety of the dam.

Hyatt's letter showed that Free-Myat's letter showed that Free-man had sent to the governor re-ports of consultants Dr. John Buwalda, Dr. Charles P. Berkey and Dr. Thomas Bailey, the Don-ald R. Warren company answer to Dr. Berkey's recommendations, Leonard Stump's summary on whether recommendations of Dr. Bailey and Dr. Berkey were be Bailey and Dr. Berkey were be-ing carried out and newspaper clippings. It also indicated that most of Dr. Berkey's recommendations had been incorporated in supplementary plans made by the Warren company.

1-7.48

Dr. Bailey Says Grouting Looks Better:

Water Rushes From Core Hole

(Following is the report (the fifth) submitted this week to county flood control district supervisors by Dr. Thomas L. Bailey, geology consultant, on the progress of coring and grouting at Matilija dam.— Editor's Note.) coring and

### By THOMAS L. BAILEY

During the week ending Jan. 17, two diamond drill core hole rigs were operating, thus speeding up operations and resulting in the completion of one core hole, 9-G, to a total depth of 100 feet and the drilling of 7-D to a depth of 50 feet where it was suspended in badly fractured rocks.

Core hole 6-E was redrilled from a depth of 35 feet, where the top of the grout filling was first encountered, past the origi-nal depth of 54 feet to a total depth of 100 feet. Core hole 1-F is being redrilled; top of the grout filling was found at  $7\frac{1}{2}$ and it was coring partly hardened like that above and partly of solid grout at 28 feet on Satur- crushed white sandstone with an

day. These holes are being grouted after completion and shortly when observed last on Saturday afternoon, Jan. 17, only three re-cently completed holes were ungrouted. Only trickles of sulphur water were flowing from two of these holes; but the third, 9-G, located between the two drill holes that required over 150 sacks of cement each, was flowing a large volume, probably 15 to 20 gallons a minute of cool water with an estimated pressure of 20 lbs. per sq. inch and containing a moderate amount of hydrogen sulphide. The driller reports that this core hole, 9-G, located about 6 feet south of the junction between blocks G and H, began making most of this water after Inclined depth of 60 feet had been reached.

In spite of the considerable depth of this water - bearing crevice or crevices, about 35 feet and 100 feet. vertically below the floor of the reservoir and some 5 feet upstream from the edge of the dam. there is a possibility that part of this large flow is coming from the reservoir by seeping down a crack or cracks in the floor of the pool.

Evidently the large volume of grout pumped into the two holes on each side last week, did not completely close all the crevices. Most of the open crevices should be filled up by grouting this core hole, but this is probably the most questionable part of the rock

block G and the adjacent part of H and thoroughly grouted to insure against important leaks de-veloping in the future.

The left abutment is now being ment. regrouted on the upstream side and it is slowly taking a sizable amount of grout in blocks K, L, M and N.

A summary of the salient facts shown by the cores from the three core holes drilled or redrilled during the past week is stated below

### **REDRILLED CORE HOLE 6-E**

A solid core (100% recovery) of partly hardened fairly dark gray grout, still soft enough to be carved with a knife, but of satis-and 42 feet. The cores in this incarved with a knife, but of satis-factory quality, was obtained from 35 to 40 feet. The core from 40 to  $48\frac{1}{2}$  feet (also 100% re-40 to  $481/_2$  feet (also 100% re-covery) consisted partly of grout for depths 23 to 25 feet where like that above and partly of nearly two feet of hard irregularirregular contact showing small tongues and even tiny films of grout penetrating the crushed sandstone  $\frac{1}{2}$  inch or more and holding the two types of material together firmly. This shows that the redrilled hole veered off slightly from the original hole that was partly filed with grout. No grout was observed below 481/2 feet and no core was obtained from  $48\frac{1}{2}$  to 64 feet indicating soft crushed sandstone that washed away; only about  $1\frac{1}{2}$  feet of core consisting of small angular fragments of the harder, less crushed portions of the white crushed sandstone were obtained from 64 to 85 feet or an average recovery of only 8%. About 22% of the interval from 85 to 100 feet was recovered as core fragments of crushed sandstone, some of which were loosely held together by a seam ½" thick of black clay fault gouge between depths 90

Although this is very poor recovery below 48 feet and the rock is very soft and weak only a trickle of water is coming out of this hole so that no important leakage has developed in this vicinity.

### CORE HOLE 7-D

The hole, located near the center of block D and toward the southern edge of the crushed zone, reached a depth of about 50 feet and had an average core recov-ery of about 60%. The cores from 9½ feet (base of dam) to 25 feet consist of coherent, but only slightly indurated, unstratified crushed sandstone with a few thin seams of black shaly fault gouge between 20 and 25 feet.

The crushed sandstone is partly held together with veinlets of calcite, but a few very thin films of grout were observed between 10 and 25 feet. Between 25 and 50 feet less than half of the cored interval was recovered as cores and these cores consist mainly of loose fragments or slivers of badly fractured, but harder sandstone and sandy shale. It reached the southwest edge of the crush-

floor of the dam and, I believe, ed zone at a depth of 32 feet and that several more grout holes should be drilled in this part of tered and fractured zone of harder sandstone between the crushed zone and the hard, more solid rock that underlies most of blocks C, B and A, and the right abut-

This core hole, 7-D, took 700 sacks of cement and probably more grout should be injected in this vicinity

### CORE HOLE 9-G

This hole near the northeast edge of block G is midway between the two drill holes that flowed most water before grouting and which took over 150 sacks of cement each. Poor core recovterval consisted of hard, badly fractured sandstone in loose fragly fractured sandstone firmly held together with hard gray grout that fills a network of cracks <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>" to <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>" thick was cored. These open cracks are evidently part of those that took so much grout and undoubtedly could have caused severe leakage prior to grouting. Only a few doubtful films of grout were observed below 25 feet and the badly frac-tured sandstone between 25 and 42 feet will probably take much more grout.

From 42 feet to the total depth of 100 feet about 75 percent core recovery indicates that the fracture planes are small and that the rock is fairly solid. A bad spot was noted between depths 62 and 69 feet and between 77 and 81 feet.

It is gratifying to observe good sized crevices filled with hard grout in this core hole, thus proving that the grouting is being effective under this part of the dam. Warren Company Has Day Before Board 1-21-48

Last week county flood control district supervisors listened to the attorney for Matilija Dam Con-tractors Atkinson Kier Bressi and Bevanda flay the Donald R. War-"We don't concede that that ren company; yesterday, the tables were turned, with supervisors lis-tening to criticisms of the con-tractors by Warren's attorney. Walter J. Fourt appeared before

the supervisors to take exception, in behalf of the Warren company, to contractors attorney Gardiner Johnson's remarks and letter in regard to diversion of dam waters and to charge that the contractors have not completed their work.

(Johnson had protested a letter sent to the contractors and in which the contractors were re-minded of their responsibility of diversion and protective works necessary to preserve the dam structure until its acceptance by the district.)

BOARD ORDER

Fourt said supervisors knew they had ordered the letter to be written, that it was done at Spe-cial Counsel S. V. O. Prichard's direction and that the Warren company had had nothing to do with ordering or wording the letter to the contractors. He was surprised, he said, that Johnson hadn't

been so informed. Then Fourt launched out into a rebuttal of points in the con-tractors' letter, taking exception to the statement that he contractors are bearing risks "while the enare bearing risks "while the en-gineer dallies with work of an exploratory, corrective and ex-perimental nature which he still has not planned nor thought through with any reasonable de-gree of skill" and to the contractors' contention that all work contemplated by the contractors, ex-cept for meager items, has been completed.

### STILL MUCH TO DO

Fourt said foundation grouting could not be considered exploratory nor corrective; he also declared that the contractors as late as Jan. 14 had employed a conas Jan. 14 had employed a con-crete finisher to repair and cor-rect concrete improperly poured. He declared there is still consider-able work to be done by the con-tractors and averred that this work should have been done months ago. The truth of the matter is, he said,

The truth of the matter is, he said, the contractors always have been a month or two months behind. Next Fourt took exception to the contractors' stand that all work remaining or contemplated is of an exploratory, corrective or experimental nature. The work re-maining he said consists of commaining, he said, consists of com-pletion of foundation grouting, of o fish ladder, of electrical works,

cidental piping and a minor amount of guniting.

"We don't concede that that work is experimental, exploratory or corrective," Fourt declared. "The dam is not completed in so far as the contractors are concerned and may not be completed until the first or the middle of Febthe first or the middle of Feb-ruary. They talk of us being dila-tory. If the truth be known, the engineer's personnel has had to consistently, always and forever request the contractors to get ad-ditional men and menor equip ditional men and proper equipment.

"They claim some changes can be attributed to us. We followed what the state division of dams required and demanded. We aren't responsible for those particular items."

### CONTRACTOR HIT

As for the contractors being kept on the job longer than anti-cipated, Fourt laid that situation on the contractors directly, saying they hadn't even had sufficient trucks on the job to handle ex-cavation, that they had been delayed because of lack of sufficient cement, by a strike of carpenters and by a lack of sufficient com-mon laborers. The engineer wasn't responsible for these delays, he said.

He declared the greatest cause of delay to the contractors was the lack of a responsible head to plan the work in advance, lay out and follow a schedule.

Fourt, at the conclusion of his presentation, was asked to have the Warren company submit a written report outlining the work remaining on the dam as of Jan. 20. District Attorney M. Arthur Waite said he and Prichard would Waite said he and Prichard would like to have that written information prior to making a recommen-dation on the letter filed last week by the contractors.

### Proctor Refuses /////// Dam Board Post

The Casitas dam consulting board definitely is without its third member today. Ralph Proctor, compaction dam authority of Los Angeles, has refused to serve.

Proctor's refusal to serve was relayed to James W. Pool, clerk of the board of supervisors, at noon today by County Flood Control District Chairman Russell Cook after that latter had conferred in Los Angeles with Proctor and Donald R. Warren, Matillija dam engineer and planner of the Casitas dam.

Cook said Proctor asserted that he will not consider serving on the board and that he has told county officials from the start that he did not want to serve. Proctor also took the stand, Cook said, that with conditions as they are at present, with engineering bills not paid and with the engineer's (Warren's) contract not satisfactorily completed he wouldn't consider serving.

The matter of the selection of a new member to serve on the consulting board will be left up to the supervisors, Cook reported. Supervisors, h o p in g Proctor would consent to serve, had scheduled an informal meeting with him and Dr. G. D. Louderback and Harold Conkling, consultants already at work, for tomorrow morning. They can not, however, formally take action until next week.

# PROCTOR WILL NOT TAKE JOB

The Casitas dam consulting board definitely is without its third member today. Ralph Proctor, compaction dam authority of Los Angeles, has refused to serve.

Proctor's refusal to serve was relayed to James W. Pool, clerk of the board of supervisors, at noon today by County Flood Control District Chairman Russell Cook after the latter had conferred in Los Angeles with Proctor and Donald R. Warren, Matilija dam engineer and planner of the Casitas dam.

### WILL NOT SERVE

Cook said Proctor asserted that he will not consider serving on the board and that he has told county officials from the start that he did not want to serve. Proctor also took the stand, Cook said, that with conditions as they are at present, with engineering bills not paid and with the engineer's (Warren's) contract not satisfactorily c ompleted he wouldn't consider serving.

The matter of the selection of a new member to serve on the consulting board will be left up to the supervisors, Cook reported. Supervisors, h o p in g Proctor would consent to serve, had scheduled an informal meeting with him and Dr. G. D. Louderback and Harold Conkling, consultants already at work, for tomorrow morning. They can not, however, formally take action until next week.

### ASK REVIEW

Supervisors have asked the consultants to review the adequacy of plans and specifications for Casitas dam, investigate the formation of the dam site, make a study of the safe yield and the like because, although supervisors had received plans and specifications for Matilija prior to that dam's being built, costs of Matilija had far exceeded those estimated. Supervisors have held back paying \$60,000 to Warren for Casitas dam plans and specifications until after the consultants' reports are in.

It apparently was to these fees that Proctor was referring when he said he could not serve. The Warren company has taken the stand the fees are long past due; the county has contended it has the right to decide on fee payment after consultants have submitted reports on Warren's plans.

### WARREN VICTORY

Proctor's refusal to serve marked a partial victory for the Warren forces who in lengthy December meetings fought against the hiring of a consulting board by questioning the ethics involved in employing consultants without the consent of the engineer and by questioning whether the men named by supervisors were "men of national status and reputation."

Conkling and Dr. Louderback, however, agreed to serve the county even though there had been friction. Only Proctor has been holding back in accepting.

### TEMPORARY PERMIT TO USE DAM TO BE ASKED 1-21-48

With the understanding that the order for the closing of the gates of Matilija dam will come from the board—an order that would actually bring about the storage of water — county flood control district supervisors yesterday af-ternoon authorized the necessary steps to obtain from the state way ter resources board a temporary permit to store water at the dam. On motion of Supervisor San-ford Butts, the Donald R. Warren

ford Butts, the Donald R. Warren ary, that obtaining the permit now company, dam engineer, was auth- is just a matter of saving time in permit does not in any way con-strue acceptance of the dam con-Special Counsel S. V. O. Prich-

company, dam engineer, was auth-orized to forward its letter, asking for a temporary use permit to store water at the dam, to Ed-of dam men have reported that ward Hyatt, chief of the division of water resources. The letter points out that application for the nermit does not in any way construction of Waite and full responsibility for the work. District Attorney M. Arthur

### Board to Make Order on Closing Gates: **Temporary Permit to Be Asked**

(Continued from page 1) for the permit, how this new request varied from the old one. WAITE EXPLAINS

Waite answered that he and Pritchard before had understood that if the temporary storage permit was obtained that the gates would be closed, water storage would start and the district would then be in position of having ac-cepted the dam. The gate closing still rests with the supervisors, Waite said. He further reported that he and Prichard had talked with state dam men and had been satisfied after their conference that it would be all right to ask for the temporary permit and that the state division of dams would not act until it was finally satis-

fied with the dam. With the understanding that

Prichard approved of the move, Bard, as well as other supervisors,

voted to have the application for the temporary storage permit made.

### SUBMITS LETTER

At the meeting, Walter J. Fourt, Warren's attorney, submitted a letter taking exception to board minutes which showed the War-ren company recently had submitted and been turned down on an extra work order to care for diversion of water at the dam

"The minute order may not seem important but it may make a difference in the future," Fourt declared.

He said the Warren company had submitted a cost estimate for diversion at the direct request of supervisors after the board had turned down the Warren com-pany's first suggestion to apply for a temporary storage permit and when it was anticipated that heavy rains would hit the county early in January.

# Long Threatened 'Fireworks' Pop

ONG threatened fireworks in zone one's dam-building program started popping today when the Donald R. Warren company filed in superior court a \$77,561 plus-interest action against the county flood control district and its supervisors,

The action is filed over the asserted non-payment of fees to the Warren company for final plans and specifications for Casitas dam and for the Matilija-Casitas conduit system. What the district's response will be was an unanswered question today. Flood control district supervisors were to hold an informal meeting at the courthouse this afternoon with the two experts who are serving as Casitas dam consultants; in all probability the Warren company action was to be discussed.

The matter of fee payments first broke in October, with the Warren company then stipulating a fee of \$60,000. County

> officials contended that the fee payments did not have to be made at that time, saying that final plans and specifications had not been approved by the supervisors. Ever since then, there has been a continuous round of sessions between the Warren company officials and county officials to discuss the fees.

In suing the flood control district and the supervisors-Russell Cook, Sanford Butts, Lester Price, Richard Bard and Robert Lefever --the Warren company and its leaders-Donald R. Warren, Don-ald F. Warren and Carl Nelson--declare that a written agreement exists calling for the Warren company to prepare the plans and specifications. They say these plans were readied and were accepted by the flood control district and that, therefore, the Warren com-pany is entitled to its fees right away. Warren company officials say \$45,834 is due for plans and specifications for Casitas dam, based on the estimated construction cost of the dam, and \$31,726 for the Matilija-Casitas conduit plans, also based on the estimated cost.

### **VOICE CLAIMS**

In an attempt to prove their case, the Warren forces contend the final Casitas dam plans and specifications were referred to the county engineer for checking and verification, were received back by the supervisors and that on Sept. 10, 1946, supervisors by resolution instructed and authorized their chairman (the late Percy Dennis) to approve the plans and to apply for approval of plans and specifications by the state department of public works, division of water resources.

The chairman did approve the plans and specifications for Casitas dam and the defendants applied to the state, filling out papers and paying a \$6,000 fee for filling to the state, the Warren company asserts. The plaintiffs say the state engineer on Feb. 6, 1947, approved the final plans and specifications.

These plans and specifications have been in the possession of flood control district supervisors for the past year, have never been rejected in whole or part and the plaintiffs have never been advised that plans and specifications were not in conformity with preliminary plans, the Warren company officials charge.

### BENEFITS TOLD

Therefore, they conclude, the district has used and benefited from the Casitas dam plans and specifications during the past year, has purchased land set up as needed under the plans and specifications, has expended money and has at all times treated the final plans and specifications as accepted and adopted by the district.

The plaintiffs say the defendants should not be permitted to deny having accepted the plans and specifications in lieu of their conduct. They say the district can't use the plans half way and then quit; they can't use the "intellectual property" of the Warren company without paying for



# County Can Demur to Warren Suit: 1-23-48 Waite Will Report **To Board Tuesday**

VENTURA county flood control supervisors can demur to the \$77,-561-plus-interest action filed in superior court yesterday by the Donald R. Warren company over asserted non-payment of fees for final plans and specifications for zone one's Casitas dam and Matilija-

Casitas conduit system.

That was the brief summary gi-ven today by District Attorney M. Arthur Waite in regard to the county's stand against the long-threatened and now-filed suit. Waite said, after looking over the complaint entered by the Warren company, he is convinced that the dam engineer's claim has not ripened into a suit and that as he sees the picture a demur to the action by the county is in order. REPORT TUESDAY

Waite reported that he and S. V. O. Prichard, the county's legal consultant on the dams project, will confer about the complaint and will make a report to coun-County flood control district supervisors have scheduled a meet-ing for 10 a.m. Friday, at which ing for 10 a.m. Friday, at which time they hope to receive a report from Donald R. Warren, project engineer, on whether or not he feels Contractors Atkinson Kier Bresi and Bevanda have complet-entitled to \$45,834 for Casitas dam ed their work on Matilija dam.

plans and specifications, based on The contractors, eager to clear the estimated constructions, based on out of Matilija so that they may he dam, and \$31,726 for the Ma-start on other projects, feel they ilija-Casitas conduit plans, also have completed their work as call-ased on the estimated cost. They say these plans have been accepted by the supervisors; Waite, in sessions before the supervisors, has contended that there has been no final approval.

ŝ

# **MEETING SET** FOR WARREN dam report

(Continued from page 1) tem (the other two portions of zone one's dam building pro-gram), has given the county ad-ditional time in which to answer the action. The Warren company asks for more than \$77,000. WANT REPORTS

At Legal Consultant S. V. O. Prichard's urging supervisors lecided to ask Dr. Thomas L. Bailey and Dr. Charles P. Ber-key, geological consultants for the county, to make confidential reports on Matilija dam and the progress of the coring and grouting program. Dr. Bailey will be asked to submit a complete report on the grouting and coring program he has supervised to Dr Berkey so that the consultant, who visited the damsite last spring and gave suggestions for corrective treatment for foundation flaws, can draw up his report.

Prichard said he had talked with Dr. Bailey and that the latter had reported the grouting and coring program had progressed sufficiently for Dr. Berkey to express an opinion on accomplishment of the program. FILE RECOMMENDATIONS

Another matter that came before supervisors yesterday after-noon was quietly taken under advisement for an indefinite time (probably because of the stand of the Warren company in its suit against the county). Neil Stiver, zone one manager, recommended that the district engineer survey the proposed pipeline lo-cations for the Casitas-Matilija conduit system, that rights-of-way be acquired and that bids for pipeline construction, or at least a portion of the system, be called for. His recommendations were

filed without comment. Stiver then was ordered, at Sanford Butts' suggestion, to bring in a plan for temporarily leasing lands the county has ac-quired in the Casitas basin for the proposed Casitas dam. Dam plans are inactive there for the present as a consulting board is conducting studies on the geology and hydrology of the area. Super-visors feel that until the dam program is activated they might as well put purchased lands to good use and get some revenue from the property.

### ASK INTEREST

In addition to the \$77,561, the Warren company seeks seven per-cent interest, starting as of De-cember, 1947. Walter J. Fourt, who previously has represented the Warren company in discus-sions before the supervisors, Wright and Milikan and Charles A. Loring of Los Angeles are attorneys for the Warren company.

In all probability, the district will be represented in its fight by S. V. O. Prichard, legal consult-ant who has been working with the county on dam problems, and District Attorney M. Arthur Waite. Local superior court judges are sure to be disqualified from hearing the action. Fourt would not say, however, whether or not his clients will ask for a hearing by jury.

week. company, which has filed suit against the flood control district and its supervisors over asserted non-payment of claims for final plans and specifications for Casitas dam and the conduit sys-(See MEETING page 2)

Gardiner Johnson, contractors' attorney, told supervisors at their meeting yesterday afternoon that John Kier, the contractors' project manager on Matilija, hopes to

leave Saturday for a job in Texas but would be unable to do so if the contractors don't soon get their completion notice. Johnson wanted to know how long supervisors thought it would be before War-ren issued the certificate of completion.

ed for in their contract with the

county and as devised under War-

ren's plans and specifications. They have asked the county to accept their work and to list it as

completed. Supervisors are wait-ing to hear from Warren before taking action.

KIER TO LEAVE

DAM REPORT

DUE FRIDAY

Supervisors were unable to give a direct answer but estimated that a written report from Warren should be available at least by next Tuesday. They recessed this week's meeting until Friday mor-ning in the hopes that Warren would be ready to act before next

In the meantime, the Warren