

COUNTY of VENTURA

Jeff Pratt Agency Director

David Fleisch Assistant Director

Central Services

Joan Araujo, Director

Engineering Services
Christopher Cooper, Director

Roads & Transportation Christopher Kurgan, Director Water & Sanitation Joseph Pope, Director Watershed Protection **Glenn Shephard**, Director

July 8, 2022

NOTICE OF A MEETING OF THE VENTURA COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 1 MOORPARK CITIZENS' ADVISORY COMMITTEE

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that a meeting of the Ventura County Waterworks District No. 1 Moorpark Citizens' Advisory Committee will be held **Thursday**, **July 14**, **2022**, **from 3:30 p.m. to adjournment** via Zoom. To electronically join the meeting please follow the provided steps – At the specific time (3:30 p.m.) dial the number (669) 900-6833, when prompted enter the meeting ID 470 052 7072. You can also join the meeting by visiting this link - **Join Zoom Meeting** https://us02web.zoom.us/j/4700527072

Advisory Committee Members: Please contact the District Office by telephone at (805-378-3005), or by email at wspc@ventura.org, no later than July 13, 2022, if you are unable to participate on the call.

Sincerely,

Joseph C. Pope, P.E.

Director, Water and Sanitation

AGENDA OF THE MEETING

- 1. CALL TO ORDER
- 2. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF THE June 9, 2022 MEETING
- 3. PUBLIC COMMENTS Members of the public may address the Citizens' Advisory Committee (CAC) on items of interest to the public that is within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Committee but does not appear on the agenda. With respect to agenda items, the public will be given an opportunity to address the Committee when the item is reached in the meeting.





DISTRICT STAFF REPORT

- A. CAPITAL PROJECTS The Capital Project Status Report provides regular reporting on the status of active capital projects within the District.
- B. WATER QUALITY REPORT A report regarding water quality complaints, if any, received by the District since the previous CAC meeting and how these complaints were resolved.
- C. WATER SUPPLY CONDITIONS An update on water supply conditions within the District, Southern California, and throughout the State.
- D. FOX CANYON GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT AGENCY (GMA) /LAS POSAS USERS GROUP (LPUG) UPDATE An update on decisions and/or rulings by the GMA and LPUG which may impact the District.
- E. CALLEGUAS MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT / METROPOLITAN WATER DISTRICT OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA UPDATE An update on decisions and rulings by these agencies which may impact the District.
 - Coalition Letter
- F. AVENUES OF PUBLIC OUTREACH An update on how the District is reaching out to its customers concerning water conservation.
- G. BOARD LETTER TRACKER AN UPDATE ON VENTURA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AGENDA ITEMS RELATED TO THE DISTRICT A status report on items the District may be presenting or has recently presented, before the Ventura County Board of Supervisors.
 - o VRSD Agreement June 21, 2022
- H. AN UPDATE ON THE BRIEF ON THE LAS POSAS VALLEY WATER RIGHTS COALITION ADJUDICATION - On March 27, 2018, a property-eminent domain case was filed by Las Posas Valley Water Rights Coalition against the Fox Canyon Groundwater Management Agency (GMA). The plaintiff's landowner's complaint alleges that the GMA already has imposed pumping restrictions that violate their overlying groundwater rights as part of a plan to boost municipal pumping for urban areas.
- I. BUDGET AND FINANCIAL REVIEW An update on the budget and financial status of the District.





- 5. DIRECTOR'S INFORMATIONAL ITEMS- Provides the opportunity for the Director to present items that are not within the subject matter of the District's Staff Report.
 - AMI Report
 - District 1 Historic, Recent, and Emergency Water Goals
- 6. COMMITTEE MEMBERS COMMENTS/FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS
- ADJOURNMENT

The next regularly scheduled District 1 CAC meeting will be held on September 8, 2022

**NOTICES: The following information is provided to help you understand, follow, and participate in the Board meeting: Public Comments – Public comment is the opportunity for members of the public to participate in meetings by addressing the Citizens Advisory Committee in connection with one or more agenda or non-agenda items.

- Observe the Citizens Advisory Committee meeting live at: https://us02web.zoom.us/j/4700527072
- If you wish to make a comment on a specific agenda item, you may attend the meeting by Zoom and will be given an opportunity to speak. If you prefer to submit your comment ahead of time, please submit your comment via email to wsc.email.org. Please indicate in the Subject Line, the Agenda item number (e.g., Item No. 3A). Your email will be read by the Water and Sanitation Director and placed into the record.
- If you are watching the live stream of the Committee meeting and would like to make a general public comment for items not on the day's agenda or to comment on a specific agenda item as it is being heard, please sign on to the meeting by Zoom and you will be given a chance to be heard. If you prefer to submit a comment in writing, please submit your comment to WSPC@ventura.org. Please indicate in the Subject Line, the Agenda item number (e.g., Item No. 3A). Every effort will be made to read your comment into the record, but some comments may not be read due to time limitations. Comments received after an agenda item will be made part of the record if received prior to the end of the meeting.

IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT, IF YOU NEED SPECIAL ASSISTANCE TO PARTICIPATE IN THIS MEETING, PLEASE CONTACT (805) 378-3005. REASONABLE ADVANCE NOTIFICATION OF THE NEED FOR ACCOMODATION PRIOR TO THE MEETING (48 HOURS ADVANCE NOTICE IS PREFERABLE) WILL ENABLE US TO MAKE REASONABLE ARRANGEMENTS TO ENSURE ACCESSIBILITY TO THIS MEETING.





DRAFT

CITIZENS' ADVISORY COMMITTEE VENTURA COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 1 MINUTES OF THE JUNE 9, 2022 TELECONFERENCE MEETING

COMMITTEE MEMBERS IN ATTENDANCE: Roy Talley, John Newton,

David Schwabauer, Michael Smith

COMMITTEE MEMBERS ABSENT: Andy Waters

COMMITTEE MEMBER VACANCY: None

STAFF: Joseph Pope, Director

June Kim, Engineering Manager

Ryan Lippincott, Engineering Manager Sean Hanley, Water Superintendent Jean Fontayne, Staff Services Manager Vimie Alvarez, Principal Accountant Nancy Martinez, Senior Accountant Gilberto Minero, Staff Services Specialist

Julie Taylor, Management Assistant
Brendan Gonzalez, Administrative Aide

GUESTS: Chelsie Smith, Office of Supervisor Bob Huber

* * *

1. CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order at 3:33 p.m.

2. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF: Meeting on May 12, 2022

Mr. Talley moved for approval of the minutes as presented. Mr. Schwabauer seconded this motion. Vote: Ayes: four. Nos: none.

3. PUBLIC COMMENTS –

No public comments.

Minutes of the Ventura County Waterworks District No. 1 Moorpark Citizens' Advisory Committee Meeting Ventura County Water and Sanitation Department Minutes of the June 9, 2022 Teleconference Meeting Page 2 of 4

DISTRICT STAFF REPORT –

A. CAPITAL PROJECTS

- Moorpark Desalter Moorpark Water Supply Reliability Program Recycled Water System Expansion
 - Mr. Lippincott read through and explained the various sub-projects, and gave the updates listed in the written materials on each of them.
- o Moorpark Stormwater Diversion & Groundwater Recharge Project
 - Mr. Kim stated that NBNL is preparing filed infiltration study of the ponds. NBNL has prepared their Draft Final Report.
- Stockton Reservoir
 - Mr. Kim explained that the design consultant is working on the design phase, and that the utility search in the project area is in progress.
- MWTP Steel Structure Storage Building
 - Mr. Kim stated that the Engineering Department has hired a design consultant, and the design is in progress..
- Left-turn lane on HWY 118 to Plant Entrance
 - Mr. Kim stated there are no new updates this month.
- Well 97 Re-Drill Project
 - Mr. Kim stated that Real Estate Services is working to acquire a temporary construction easement. FCGMA has approved the well permit application, and bid documents are being prepared
- MWRF BESS
 - Mr. Kim stated that the contractor is finalizing the electric design. There is currently a material delay and we should see some progress next year.
- Water Master Plan and UWMP Update
 - Mr. Lippincott stated that the consultant is modeling different scenarios and writing the Master Plan.

B. WATER QUALITY REPORT

 Mr. Pope stated that there were two water pressure complaints since the last meeting. Staff flushed the system in the area for one of these, and made operational changes to address the other.

C. WATER SUPPLY CONDITIONS

- o Mr. Pope stated that the severe drought continues.
- In terms of reservoirs, Mr. Pope showed the map included in the written materials which shows that reservoirs are far below their normal levels for the same time of year.

Minutes of the Ventura County Waterworks District No. 1 Moorpark Citizens' Advisory Committee Meeting Ventura County Water and Sanitation Department Minutes of the June 9, 2022 Teleconference Meeting Page 3 of 4

- D. FOX CANYON GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT AGENCY (GMA) /LAS POSAS USERS GROUP (LPUG) UPDATE An update on decisions and/or rulings by the GMA and LPUG which may impact the District.
 - No updates.
- E. CALLEGUAS MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT / METROPOLITAN WATER DISTRICT OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA UPDATE An update on decisions and rulings by these agencies which may impact the District.
 - o Mr. Pope discussed the recent updates from Calleguas regarding the watering restrictions. One of these restrictions is that beginning July 1, watering restrictions will be limited to no more than 10 minutes. Another update is that HOA owned areas that are non-functional turf (not used for recreation or community activities) may not be irrigated with potable water. Staff will be looking into the best ways to implement and enforce these restrictions.
- F. AVENUES OF PUBLIC OUTREACH An update on how the District is reaching out to its customers concerning water conservation.
 - Mr. Pope stated that staff will continue to focus on outreach related to conservation. Staff are considering sending out messaging through Everbridge in order to reach all customers electronically.
- G. BOARD LETTER TRACKER AN UPDATE ON VENTURA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AGENDA ITEMS RELATED TO THE DISTRICT - A status report on items the District may be presenting or has recently presented, before the Ventura County Board of Supervisors.
 - Low Income Household Assistance Program May 24, 2022
 - Placing Delinquent Lien Collection on Tax Roll June 7, 2022
 - o VRSD Agreement June 21, 2022
- H. AN UPDATE ON THE BRIEF ON THE LAS POSAS VALLEY WATER RIGHTS COALITION ADJUDICATION On March 27, 2018, a property-eminent domain case was filed by Las Posas Valley Water Rights Coalition against the Fox Canyon Groundwater Management Agency (GMA).

Minutes of the Ventura County Waterworks District No. 1 Moorpark Citizens' Advisory Committee Meeting Ventura County Water and Sanitation Department Minutes of the June 9, 2022 Teleconference Meeting Page 4 of 4

The plaintiff's landowner's complaint alleges that the GMA already has imposed pumping restrictions that violate their overlying groundwater rights as part of a plan to boost municipal pumping for urban areas.

- o Mr. Pope stated that there are no new updates at this time.
- I. BUDGET AND FINANCIAL REVIEW An update on the budget and financial status of the District.
 - Mr. Pope showed the written reports regarding the financial situation of the District that were included in the agenda packet. Mr. Pope stated that the District finances are healthy, with no major issues or concerns.
- 5. DIRECTOR'S INFORMATIONAL ITEMS- Provides the opportunity for the Director to present items that are not within the subject matter of the District's Staff Report.
 - o Mr. Pope presented the AMI Customer Portal Registered Users report, showing that the number of District 1 customers using AMI have been growing significantly. Mr. Pope stated that staff have been doing a good job of encouraging customers to sign up for the AMI portal.
 - Discussion of Future CAC Meeting Schedule Mr. Pope asked the Committee members their thoughts on reducing the number of meetings per year, in order to save money for the District. The Committee members stated that they were not opposed. Mr. Newton stated that his preference is for meetings to be held every other month, six per year. Mr. Smith suggested that this begin immediately, and that meetings be held in July, September, and November of this year, canceling the other meetings. All Committee members agreed. Mr. Schwabauer commended Mr. Pope on this cost-saving suggestion.
- COMMITTEE MEMBERS COMMENTS/FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS
 - None.
- 7. ADJOURNMENT
 - Meeting adjourned at 4:24 p.m.

3. PUBLIC COMMENTS - Members of the public may address the Citizens' Advisory Committee (CAC) on items of interest to the public that is within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Committee but does not appear on the agenda. With respect to agenda items, the public will be given an opportunity to address the Committee when the item is reached in the meeting.

4. DISTRICT STAFF REPORT

A. CAPITAL PROJECTS - The Capital Project Status Report provides regular reporting on the status of active capital projects within the District.

ACTIVE CAPITAL PROJECTS REPORT - WATER AND SANITATION DEPARTMEN

| July 2022 | ACTIVE | CAPITAL PROJECT | | TER AND SANITATION DEPARTMEN | |
|---|-----------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|---|---|
| Project Name | Project Manager | Phase | Phase % Complete | Proposed Funding | Remarks |
| Moorpark Desalter- Moorpark Water Supply Reliability Program- Recycled Water System Expansion | | | | | Funding Amendment has Been Approved |
| Recycled Water Pond Inlet/Outlet Separation | G. Araujo/ Sean | Construction | 50 | Prop 84 Grant Funding/Capital Fund Match | Crews intalled around 2/3 of the underground pipe. |
| Disinfection Modernization/Salt Reduction | R. Lippincott | Planning | 10 | Prop 84 Grant Funding/Capital Fund Match | Consultant is working on the feasibility study. |
| Dry Weather Nuisance Water Collection | G. Araujo | Pilot | 75 | Prop 84 Grant Funding/Capital Fund Match | The District is procuring a flow meter to finish the pilot |
| Recycled Water Recovery Wells and Piping | R. Lippincott | Planning | 0 | Prop 84 Grant Funding/Capital Fund Match | Executing a contract with a hydrogeologist consultant |
| Recycled Water Pump Station No. 1 Upgrade/Basin Automation | G. Araujo | Design | 50 | Prop 84 Grant Funding/Capital Fund Match | Intalled 2 flow meters on the existing RW PS 1 pumps so a flow test can be conducted and moving into Phase 2 design. |
| Backbone Pipeline to Hitch Ranch and Surrounding Developments | R. Lippincott | Planning | 5 | Developer/Prop 84 Grant Funding/Capital Fund Match | The District has ranked the alignments and will select a design consultant. |
| Pipeline to Rustic Valley Farms | G. Araujo | Construction | 0 | Developer/Prop 84 Grant Funding/Capital Fund Match | Executed an ammendment to the recycled water contract to compensate for higher material costs. Waiting on owner to proceed. |
| Concrete Basin Lining at Moorpark Water Reclamation Facility (MWRF) | R. Lippincott | Design | 1 | Prop 84 Grant Funding/Capital Fund Match | Received RFPs and are evaluating them to select a consultant. |
| Additional Open Reservoir Along New Backbone Piping | R. Lippincott | Planning | 0 | Prop 84 Grant Funding/Capital Fund Match | Dependent on pipeline alignment studies |
| Permitting | R. Lippincott | Permitting | 50 | Prop 84 Grant Funding/Capital Fund Match | The District received and is reviewing the Title 22 Engineering Report Update administrative draft. |
| Moorpark Stormwater Diversion & Groundwater Recharge Project | J. Kim | Design | 25 | FSA Grant | NBNL is preparing filed infiltration study of the ponds on 6/21/2021. NBNL prepared Draft Final Report. |
| Stockton Reservoir | J. Kim | Easement Acquisition | 75 | Capital Funds | RES Work order issued for Permanent Easement acquisition. RES is working on the appraisal. Design Consultant is working on the design phase. Utility Search in the project area is in progress. |
| MWTP Steel Structure Storage Building | J. Kim | Design | 30 | Capital Funds | Engineering Service Department has hired design consultant. Design in progress. |
| Left-turn lane on HWY 118 to Plant Entrance | J. Kim | Design | 75 | Capital Funds | Roads&Transportation is preparing MND for public review. Anticipated construction in Fall 2023 |
| Well 97 Re-Drill Project | H. Arredondo / G. Araujo | Easement Acquisition | 85 | Capital Funds | RES working to acquire temporary construction easement. FCGMA has approved well permit application. W&S is preparing bid documents. |
| MWRF BESS | H. Arredondo | Design | 90 | CPUC's Self-Generation Incentive Program | SCE Interconnection Agreement under review by SCE. EDF is working on Re-90% design package, updating controls report, and Switchboard fabrication package for ordering. |
| Water Master Plan and UWMP Update | R. Lippincott | Master Plan | 85 | Capital Funds | Seadaru is processing the model and loading it into their system. Consultant is modeling different scenerios and writing the master plan. |
| Princeton Road Widening Pipeline Replacement (City of Moorpark Project) | G. Araujo | Construction | 0 | Capital Funds (Public ROW Required Relocation) | SCE is currently moving their utilities prior to the start of the City/District project. |


4. DISTRICT STAFF REPORT

B. WATER QUALITY REPORT - A report regarding water quality complaints, if any, received by the District since the previous CAC meeting and how these complaints were resolved.

4. DISTRICT STAFF REPORT


C. WATER SUPPLY CONDITIONS - An update on water supply conditions within the District, Southern California, and throughout the State.

Drought Classification



Statistics Comparison

| Week | None | D0-D4 | D1-D4 | D2-D4 | D3-D4 | D4 | DSCI |
|------------|------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|------|
| 2022-06-28 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 99.79 | 97.48 | 59.81 | 11.59 | 369 |
| 2021-06-29 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 94.73 | 85.44 | 33.32 | 413 |
| Change | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.21 | -2.75 | 25.63 | 21.73 | 44 |



The CSI link has been disabled to zoom in, for the lack of historical data.

4. DISTRICT STAFF REPORT

- A. FOX CANYON GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT AGENCY (GMA) /LAS POSAS USERS GROUP (LPUG) UPDATE An update on decisions and/or rulings by the GMA and LPUG which may impact the District.
- B. CALLEGUAS MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT /
 METROPOLITAN WATER DISTRICT OF SOUTHERN
 CALIFORNIA UPDATE An update on decisions and
 rulings by these agencies which may impact the
 District.
- Coalition Letter















July 6, 2022

Gloria D. Gray Chairwoman, Board of Directors Metropolitan Water District of Southern California P.O. Box 54153 Los Angeles, CA 90054-0153

Re: Water Shortage Emergency Condition

Dear Ms. Gray:

We fully agree with the views expressed in the attached May 29, 2022 letter to you from Mayor Shawn Mulchay of the City of Camarillo. We wholly support Mayor Mulchay's request that Metropolitan Water District (MWD) take immediate and specific emergency action to correct the existing conveyance system inadequacy for our geographic area and all State Water Project-dependent areas within MWD. It is imperative that MWD undertake this action so there is equitable supply reliability and equal treatment of all MWD ratepayers, including our Ventura County residents.

Thank you for your June 6, 2022 response letter to Mayor Mulchay. We look forward to MWD's continuing response and taking appropriate emergency action on our request.

Sincerely,

Shawn Mulchay

Mayor

City of Camarillo

Tony Stafford General Manager

Camrosa Water District

Don Villafana, P.E.QSD Public Works Director

City of Port Hueneme

Port Hueneme Water Agency

Keith L. Mashburn

Mayor

City of Simi Valley

Leon Shapiro Board President

Triunfo Water & Sanitation District

Scott Meckstroth Deputy Director

Ventura County Public Works Water & Sanitation Department

Attachments: May 29, 2022 City of Camarillo Letter

June 6, 2022 Metropolitan Water District Letter

June 29, 2022 Supervisor Carmen Ramirez (Fifth District) Support Letter



City of Camarillo

601 Carmen Drive • P.O. Box 248 • Camarillo, CA 93011-0248

Office of the Mayor (805) 388-5307 FAX (805) 388-5318

May 29, 2022

Gloria D. Gray Chairwoman, Board of Directors Metropolitan Water District of Southern California P.O. Box 54153 Los Angeles, CA 90054-0153

Re: Water Shortage Emergency Condition

Dear Ms. Gray:

On May 25, 2022, the Camarillo City Council (City) adopted an urgency water conservation ordinance fully meeting the requirements imposed by the Metropolitan Water District of Southern California (MWD) and the Calleguas Municipal Water District (CMWD) in their respective April 27, 2022 Resolution 9305 and April 26, 2022 Resolution No. 2042.

MWD's emergency water conservation program mandate set forth in its Resolution 9305 applies only to the State Water Project-dependent (SWP-d) areas within MWD. These geographic areas include significant portions of Ventura County (including the City of Camarillo), Los Angeles County and San Bernardino County. This large area within MWD's jurisdiction comprises approximately six million, or thirty percent of, MWD ratepayers. The balance of MWD's geographic area, comprising approximately fourteen million ratepayers, is unaffected by MWD's mandate.

Our City has acted in good faith in implementing MWD's unprecedented mandate. Particularly in light of the delivery challenges of the State Water Project brought about in part by the current severe drought cycle, the City is committed to doing its part by enacting robust water conservation requirements for its residents and by investing millions of dollars (through our new groundwater desalter project) to increase locally-produced water supplies and to decrease its dependence on the SWP.

The City is prioritizing these measures because it is the right thing to do.

Now is the time, however, that MWD does the right thing and demonstrate the same good faith to ratepayers in MWD's SWP-d areas, and particularly in our north/west Ventura County SWP-d (MWD's SWP West Branch) geographic area.

Despite the current delivery problems with the State Water Project, MWD's own Water Surplus/Drought Management Plan (WSDM) Storage Detail as of April 12, 2022 shows total WSDM water storage levels of approximately 2.6 million acre feet (MAF) and a calendar year take capacity (assuming the current 5% SWP Table A allocation) of 977,000 acre feet. It is noteworthy that such storage levels are approximately triple the amount of MWD's 900,000 acre foot storage level in 2015 during the height of the prior drought cycle.

Supply is not the fundamental issue; rather, it's a lack of infrastructure.

Even acknowledging the severity of the current drought cycle and reduced SWP allocations, the fundamental issue currently confronting MWD for its SWP-d areas, including Ventura County, is not supply. The truth is that MWD lacks the necessary infrastructure to deliver otherwise available stored water to our north/west geographic area. Furthermore, MWD has known about its lack of adequate infrastructure capacity to our north/west area for over thirty years and yet has negligently failed to act in the best interests of our ratepayers.

MWD's Greg Avenue Pump Station and West Valley Feeder are vital to moving MWD water to its SWP West Branch Area, including Ventura County and the City of Camarillo. However, it appears that except for recent rehabilitation (but notably, no increase in capacity) at the Greg Avenue pump station, MWD for decades has inexplicably failed to increase Greg Avenue pump station capacity and undertake other associated improvements (e.g. to the West Valley and/or Sepulveda Feeders) necessary to deliver a fair share of stored water to our SWP West Branch area. The same capacity at the Greg Avenue station—designed for a maximum capacity 50 cfs flow--has existed unchanged for decades, despite significant population increases over the years in our (MWD's north/west) geographic area.

MWD's own records demonstrate MWD's historical failure to act on this issue. Over 30 years ago, MWD's then-General Manager addressed the MWD Board of Directors concerning the augmentation of water supply to MWD's SWP-d areas, including the importance of increasing the Greg Avenue pump plant's existing 50 cfs flow capacity for additional movement of water to the Calleguas and Las Virgenes municipal water districts to meet system requirements. The MWD General Manager in that February 25, 1991 memorandum represented that it was the then-intent of MWD to "study the feasibility" of increasing the pumping capacity.

In May 2014—a full eight years ago—during an Engineering & Operations Committee meeting, an MWD director questioned MWD staff regarding a doubling of Greg Avenue pump station capacity to 100 cfs to match the West Valley feeder capacity. The very next

May 29, 2022 Water Shortage Emergency Condition Page 3 of 4

day, in a full MWD Board meeting, MWD Director Murray addressed this very same issue. The response from MWD staff was essentially "we'll review it."

Nothing was done. Seven years later, in July 2021, MWD's staff offered MWD's directors on its Engineering & Operations Committee options and challenges concerning an expansion of the Greg Avenue pump station capacity and modifications to the West Valley and/or Sepulveda Feeders to meet demand in the SWP West Branch area. Astonishingly-as if pretending the discussion seven years earlier never occurred--MWD staff posed the question of "what would it look like?" if MWD doubled the station capacity from 50 cfs to 100 cfs. The following month in the same committee, MWD Director Blois expressed his concern regarding the protracted MWD planning effort, that vital improvements were years away from fruition, and that CMWD customers would suffer in a future emergency in a zero SWP allocation year.

Now to one year later. At the April 26, 2022 MWD Board meeting, MWD's Water Resources Manager alleged that there exists insufficient supply to meet normal water demands in our SWP-d area. Respectfully, his claim appears to be a red herring and cannot be reconciled with MWD's current water storage figures. In fact, it is MWD's infrastructure capacity limitations which are the true cause of MWD's inability to meet 2022 demands in our SWP-d north/west area.

At the same meeting, and in response to the claims of the water resources manager, MWD Director Sutley recognized the infrastructure issue and emphasized the need to boost system capacity to convey Colorado River water and regionally stored water (e.g. Diamond Valley) to our north/west SWP-d area. Director Sutley stated that **it is imperative to approve conveyance to all SWP-d areas so there is equitable supply reliability.** As she put it, all [20 million] MWD ratepayers have invested in MWD storage and facilities, but not all ratepayers have access to the water that is in dry year storage. MWD's current General Manager Adel Hagekhalil personally responded to Director Sutley and stated to directors from SWP-d areas that increasing feeder capacity and upsizing pump station(s) is his "#1 priority."

Actions speak louder than words. If MWD had the capability to fully meet normal service demands areawide with SWP, WSDM and other available water supplies, MWD could implement a **strong and uniform call for increased conservation** based on the Governor's call for conservation, monitor the challenge, and ramp up accordingly as necessary. Instead, our SWP-d north/west geographic area of MWD is faced with an inadequate and inequitable allocation of water because of MWD's years-long negligent failure to act.

For decades, the MWD ratepayers in the SWP-d (including Ventura County) geographic areas through their Member Agencies have paid to MWD the same base acre foot rate as the roughly 14 million ratepayers in the other part of MWD. Local water retailer rates may vary, but the price MWD charges (including base rate capacity charges and readiness to serve charges) is the same for everyone. Yet 6 million ratepayers in MWD's SWP-d areas, including its north/west Ventura County area, do not enjoy

equitable supply reliability and are in effect treated by MWD as second-class citizens.

We ask MWD to take immediate and specific emergency action to correct the conveyance system inadequacy for our north/west SWP West Branch area and all SWP-d areas within MWD. Such corrective action might not occur overnight, but MWD taking no action in the face of this acknowledged water shortage emergency condition and hardships imposed on MWD ratepayers is indefensible.

We respectfully await MWD's response and emergency action on our request.

Sincerely,

Shawn Mulchay

Mayor City of Camarillo

CC: [all via email]

MWD Board of Directors (all)

Mr. Adel Hagekhalil, General Manager, MWD Calleguas Municipal Water District (all directors) Las Virgenes Municipal Water District (all directors)

Camrosa Water District (all directors)

City of Thousand Oaks

City of Oxnard

City of Simi Valley

County of Ventura Water and Sanitation Pleasant Valley Mutual Water Company

Crestview Mutual Water Company

California American Water Company Ventura County District

VC Board of Supervisors (all)

Honorable Jacqui Irwin

Honorable Monique Limon

Honorable Julia Brownley

MWD Member Agencies in MWD's SWP-d areas



Office of the Board of Directors

June 6, 2022

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

Honorable Mayor Shawn Mulchay City of Camarillo 601 Carmen Drive Camarillo, CA 93011-0248

Dear Mayor Mulchay:

I very much appreciated the opportunity to talk with you last week regarding the critical water supply situation facing your community. As I shared, your concerns have been heard, and we are committed to continue working with you and with all our member agencies, to be responsive and find solutions to the drought crisis facing our region and state.

I have asked Metropolitan's staff to provide a more detailed response to the issues in your letter. While the extreme climate and drought conditions are happening faster and more severely than many anticipated, the same urgency must drive our ability to work together to fast-track and secure funding for new projects, promote conservation, and balance the wise investment of ratepayer dollars to ensure the affordability and reliability of water supplies.

We recognize that part of the challenge is due to a lack of sufficient infrastructure, including pipeline and pumping capacity, to move water from the Colorado River and stored water to the State Water Project-dependent areas such as Camarillo. Additionally, a number of immediate actions have been taken, including declaring an emergency to secure health and safety supplies from the state; implementing Water Shortage Contingency Plans; approving funding of design for emergency drought projects to enhance our infrastructure and delivery capabilities; and seeking state and federal funds and alternative project delivery methods to allow us to expedite these emergency drought projects.

We look forward to continuing to work closely with Calleguas Municipal Water District (MWD) and all stakeholders in Ventura County to ensure a reliable and affordable water supply. The recent upgrade of the Greg Avenue Pumping Plant to ensure its reliability is evidence of the continued commitment of the Metropolitan Board. But there is more we can, and must, do.

Metropolitan will continue to bring forward projects and programs to help prevent a similar situation in the future. We have been working with our member agencies to define a set of projects that can be developed to ensure this improved future. The team at Calleguas MWD is heavily engaged, and we expect work to continue into the fall. I have asked General Manager Adel Hagekhalil to meet with you and other representatives of the City as well as Calleguas MWD to ensure we understand the needs of your community and what improvements can be made to address these vitally important issues.

If my office can be of further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact me personally, or you can reach my Executive Assistant, Elisa Mendez, at (213) 217-7647 or emendez@mwdh2o.com.

Sincerely,

Gloria D. Gray

Chairwoman of the Board

cc: Metropolitan Board of Directors

Mr. Adel Hagekhalil, General Manager, MWD

Metropolitan Member Agencies

Calleguas Municipal Water District

Las Virgenes Municipal Water District

Camrosa Water District

City of Thousand Oaks

City of Oxnard

City of Simi Valley

County of Ventura Water and Sanitation

Pleasant Valley Mutual Water Company

Crestview Mutual Water Company

California American Water Company Ventura County District

Ventura County Board of Supervisors

Honorable Jacqui Irwin

Honorable Monique Limon

Honorable Julia Brownley



City of Camarillo

601 Carmen Drive • P.O. Box 248 • Camarillo, CA 93011-0248

Office of the Mayor (805) 388-5307 FAX (805) 388-5318

May 29, 2022

Gloria D. Gray Chairwoman, Board of Directors Metropolitan Water District of Southern California P.O. Box 54153 Los Angeles, CA 90054-0153

Re: Water Shortage Emergency Condition

Dear Ms. Gray:

On May 25, 2022, the Camarillo City Council (City) adopted an urgency water conservation ordinance fully meeting the requirements imposed by the Metropolitan Water District of Southern California (MWD) and the Calleguas Municipal Water District (CMWD) in their respective April 27, 2022 Resolution 9305 and April 26, 2022 Resolution No. 2042.

MWD's emergency water conservation program mandate set forth in its Resolution 9305 applies only to the State Water Project-dependent (SWP-d) areas within MWD. These geographic areas include significant portions of Ventura County (including the City of Camarillo), Los Angeles County and San Bernardino County. This large area within MWD's jurisdiction comprises approximately six million, or thirty percent of, MWD ratepayers. The balance of MWD's geographic area, comprising approximately fourteen million ratepayers, is unaffected by MWD's mandate.

Our City has acted in good faith in implementing MWD's unprecedented mandate. Particularly in light of the delivery challenges of the State Water Project brought about in part by the current severe drought cycle, the City is committed to doing its part by enacting robust water conservation requirements for its residents and by investing millions of dollars (through our new groundwater desalter project) to increase locally-produced water supplies and to decrease its dependence on the SWP.

The City is prioritizing these measures because it is the right thing to do.

Now is the time, however, that MWD does the right thing and demonstrate the same good faith to ratepayers in MWD's SWP-d areas, and particularly in our north/west Ventura County SWP-d (MWD's SWP West Branch) geographic area.

Despite the current delivery problems with the State Water Project, MWD's own Water Surplus/Drought Management Plan (WSDM) Storage Detail as of April 12, 2022 shows total WSDM water storage levels of approximately 2.6 million acre feet (MAF) and a calendar year take capacity (assuming the current 5% SWP Table A allocation) of 977,000 acre feet. It is noteworthy that such storage levels are approximately triple the amount of MWD's 900,000 acre foot storage level in 2015 during the height of the prior drought cycle.

Supply is not the fundamental issue; rather, it's a lack of infrastructure.

Even acknowledging the severity of the current drought cycle and reduced SWP allocations, the fundamental issue currently confronting MWD for its SWP-d areas, including Ventura County, is not supply. The truth is that MWD lacks the necessary infrastructure to deliver otherwise available stored water to our north/west geographic area. Furthermore, MWD has known about its lack of adequate infrastructure capacity to our north/west area for over thirty years and yet has negligently failed to act in the best interests of our ratepayers.

MWD's Greg Avenue Pump Station and West Valley Feeder are vital to moving MWD water to its SWP West Branch Area, including Ventura County and the City of Camarillo. However, it appears that except for recent rehabilitation (but notably, no increase in capacity) at the Greg Avenue pump station, MWD for decades has inexplicably failed to increase Greg Avenue pump station capacity and undertake other associated improvements (e.g. to the West Valley and/or Sepulveda Feeders) necessary to deliver a fair share of stored water to our SWP West Branch area. The same capacity at the Greg Avenue station—designed for a maximum capacity 50 cfs flow--has existed unchanged for decades, despite significant population increases over the years in our (MWD's north/west) geographic area.

MWD's own records demonstrate MWD's historical failure to act on this issue. Over 30 years ago, MWD's then-General Manager addressed the MWD Board of Directors concerning the augmentation of water supply to MWD's SWP-d areas, including the importance of increasing the Greg Avenue pump plant's existing 50 cfs flow capacity for additional movement of water to the Calleguas and Las Virgenes municipal water districts to meet system requirements. The MWD General Manager in that February 25, 1991 memorandum represented that it was the then-intent of MWD to "study the feasibility" of increasing the pumping capacity.

In May 2014—a full eight years ago—during an Engineering & Operations Committee meeting, an MWD director questioned MWD staff regarding a doubling of Greg Avenue pump station capacity to 100 cfs to match the West Valley feeder capacity. The very next

May 29, 2022 Water Shortage Emergency Condition Page 3 of 4

day, in a full MWD Board meeting, MWD Director Murray addressed this very same issue. The response from MWD staff was essentially "we'll review it."

Nothing was done. Seven years later, in July 2021, MWD's staff offered MWD's directors on its Engineering & Operations Committee options and challenges concerning an expansion of the Greg Avenue pump station capacity and modifications to the West Valley and/or Sepulveda Feeders to meet demand in the SWP West Branch area. Astonishingly-as if pretending the discussion seven years earlier never occurred--MWD staff posed the question of "what would it look like?" if MWD doubled the station capacity from 50 cfs to 100 cfs. The following month in the same committee, MWD Director Blois expressed his concern regarding the protracted MWD planning effort, that vital improvements were years away from fruition, and that CMWD customers would suffer in a future emergency in a zero SWP allocation year.

Now to one year later. At the April 26, 2022 MWD Board meeting, MWD's Water Resources Manager alleged that there exists insufficient supply to meet normal water demands in our SWP-d area. Respectfully, his claim appears to be a red herring and cannot be reconciled with MWD's current water storage figures. In fact, it is MWD's infrastructure capacity limitations which are the true cause of MWD's inability to meet 2022 demands in our SWP-d north/west area.

At the same meeting, and in response to the claims of the water resources manager, MWD Director Sutley recognized the infrastructure issue and emphasized the need to boost system capacity to convey Colorado River water and regionally stored water (e.g. Diamond Valley) to our north/west SWP-d area. Director Sutley stated that **it is imperative to approve conveyance to all SWP-d areas so there is equitable supply reliability.** As she put it, all [20 million] MWD ratepayers have invested in MWD storage and facilities, but not all ratepayers have access to the water that is in dry year storage. MWD's current General Manager Adel Hagekhalil personally responded to Director Sutley and stated to directors from SWP-d areas that increasing feeder capacity and upsizing pump station(s) is his "#1 priority."

Actions speak louder than words. If MWD had the capability to fully meet normal service demands areawide with SWP, WSDM and other available water supplies, MWD could implement a **strong and uniform call for increased conservation** based on the Governor's call for conservation, monitor the challenge, and ramp up accordingly as necessary. Instead, our SWP-d north/west geographic area of MWD is faced with an inadequate and inequitable allocation of water because of MWD's years-long negligent failure to act.

For decades, the MWD ratepayers in the SWP-d (including Ventura County) geographic areas through their Member Agencies have paid to MWD the same base acre foot rate as the roughly 14 million ratepayers in the other part of MWD. Local water retailer rates may vary, but the price MWD charges (including base rate capacity charges and readiness to serve charges) is the same for everyone. Yet 6 million ratepayers in MWD's SWP-d areas, including its north/west Ventura County area, do not enjoy

equitable supply reliability and are in effect treated by MWD as second-class citizens.

We ask MWD to take immediate and specific emergency action to correct the conveyance system inadequacy for our north/west SWP West Branch area and all SWP-d areas within MWD. Such corrective action might not occur overnight, but MWD taking no action in the face of this acknowledged water shortage emergency condition and hardships imposed on MWD ratepayers is indefensible.

We respectfully await MWD's response and emergency action on our request.

Sincerely,

Shawn Mulchay

Mayor City of Camarillo

CC: [all via email]

MWD Board of Directors (all)

Mr. Adel Hagekhalil, General Manager, MWD Calleguas Municipal Water District (all directors) Las Virgenes Municipal Water District (all directors)

Camrosa Water District (all directors)

City of Thousand Oaks

City of Oxnard

City of Simi Valley

County of Ventura Water and Sanitation Pleasant Valley Mutual Water Company

Crestview Mutual Water Company

California American Water Company Ventura County District

VC Board of Supervisors (all)

Honorable Jacqui Irwin

Honorable Monique Limon

Honorable Julia Brownley

MWD Member Agencies in MWD's SWP-d areas

SUPERVISOR CARMEN RAMÍREZ

Fifth District

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

CARMEN RAMIREZ, CHAIR
MATT LAVERE
LINDA PARKS
KELLY LONG
ROBERT O. HUBER

Board of Supervisors

June 29, 2022

Gloria D. Gray
Chairwoman, Board of Directors
Metropolitan Water District of Southern California
P.O. Box 54153
Los Angeles, CA 90054-0153
Re: Water Shortage Emergency Condition

Dear Chairwoman Gray:

As chair of the Ventura County Board of Supervisors, I write to express support for the City of Camarillo's views as expressed in Mayor Shawn Mulchay's letter of May 29, 2022 to you.

I understand that a recent meeting between Calleguas and MWD is leading to further productive actions as well as a recognition of previous efforts to improve infrastructure.

With that said, I continue to support Mayor Mulchay's request that MWD take immediate and specific emergency action to correct the existing conveyance system inadequacy for our geographic area and all State Water Project-dependent areas within MWD. It is critical that MWD undertake this action so an equitable supply is reliable and that there is equal treatment of all MWD ratepayers, including Ventura County residents.

I do thank you for your June 6, 2022 response letter to Mayor Mulchay. I look forward to MWD's continuing response and taking appropriate emergency action on his request. Please contact me if you should have any further questions or if there is anything I can do to further these efforts.

Sincerely,

Carmen Kamírez

Supervisor, Fifth District

Attachments: May 29, 2022 City of Camarillo correspondence

4. <u>DISTRICT STAFF REPORT</u>

F. AVENUES OF PUBLIC OUTREACH - An update on how the District is reaching out to its customers concerning water conservation.

4. <u>DISTRICT STAFF REPORT</u>

- G.BOARD LETTER TRACKER AN UPDATE ON VENTURA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AGENDA ITEMS RELATED TO THE DISTRICT A status report on items the District may be presenting or has recently presented, before the Ventura County Board of Supervisors.
- VRSD Agreement June 21, 2022

4. DISTRICT STAFF REPORT

- H.AN UPDATE ON THE BRIEF ON THE LAS POSAS VALLEY WATER RIGHTS COALITION
 ADJUDICATION On March 27, 2018, a property-eminent domain case was filed by Las Posas Valley Water Rights Coalition against the Fox Canyon Groundwater Management Agency (GMA). The plaintiff's landowner's complaint alleges that the GMA already has imposed pumping restrictions that violate their overlying groundwater rights as part of a plan to boost municipal pumping for urban areas.
- I. BUDGET AND FINANCIAL REVIEW An update on the budget and financial status of the District.

| 47 Image: Control of the c | | CURRENT REDUCE A CTU VITIES TO | 1044 51105 | D 5 /04 /0000 | | | | | | | | |
|--|----|---|-------------|------------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------|--------------|
| | | | | D 5/31/2022 | | | | | | | | |
| Total Beginning Salances | | Waterworks #1 Moorpark - Wat | ter Service | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 Total Endring Balance | | Cash Flow Analysis | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| Required Cash Balance | 1 | Total Beginning Balances | | | \$10,817,500 | \$10,228,800 | \$10,501,500 | \$10,973,293 | \$10,802,254 | \$10,802,254 | | \$10,802,254 |
| Net | 2 | Total Ending Balances | | | \$10,228,800 | \$10,501,500 | \$10,973,293 | \$10,802,254 | \$407,854 | \$14,343,231 | | \$13,035,531 |
| Net | 4 | Required Cash Balance | | | \$6,345,375 | \$5,965,628 | \$6,777,213 | \$7,526,395 | \$7,135,900 | \$5,757,490 | | \$7,142,120 |
| Part | 5 | <u> </u> | | | ok | | | | | | | |
| Peginning Balances | 0 | | | | | | | | TV00 4 TV | | | =V00 VD =VD |
| Page | _ | | | FNAC A CT# | EVIO ACTUAL | EVIO ACTUAL | EVOC ACTUAL | EVOL ACTUAL | | EV22 ACTUAL | | |
| 10 ORAM Revenue | | | | FIVIS ACT# | | | | | | | ACTUAL % | |
| 11 Interest Earnings | , | | | | 40 | 30 | 30 | 30 | \$311,101 | \$311,101 | | \$311,101 |
| 12 Federal & State Aid 9191/9259 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Meter Sales & Install Fee | | • | | | - | - | - | - | - | | | |
| 14 Other Revenue-Misc | | | | | - | - | - | - | - | 1,700 | | 1,700 |
| 15 Other Sales/ Revenues 942/9613975/9708/931 153,500 146,700 169,500 318,600 219,800 181,600 23% 248,700 170,000 188,000 25% | | | | | - | | | - | - | - | | - |
| Flanning And Eng Svc External 9481 31800 104200 52,800 33,900 75,000 18,800 25% 75,000 18,800 38,300 382,600 95% 383,100 382,6 | | | | | · · | | | | | | | |
| Rent & Concessions | | · · | | | | , | , | • | | | | |
| Mater Sales | | 3 3 | | | | | , | | | · | | |
| Gain/Loss Capital Asset | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total - O&M Revenue \$17,754,700 \$17,168,500 \$19,294,900 \$22,056,500 \$20,327,300 \$17,878,400 \$21,666,300 | | | | 9614 | 16,832,000 | 16,189,900 | 18,395,300 | 21,400,600 | 19,288,000 | 16,885,300 | 88% | 20,493,600 |
| | | · · | | | - | - | - | - | - | - | | - |
| 22 ORM Expenditure | | Total - O&M Revenue | | | \$17,754,700 | \$17,168,500 | \$19,294,900 | \$22,056,500 | \$20,327,300 | \$17,878,400 | | \$21,666,300 |
| Phone/Supplies/Bad Deb/Misc | | O&M Expenditure | | | | | | | | | | |
| 24 System Maint, Repairs & Repic. Various (see sch 962,800 961,700 1,072,900 1,148,600 1,611,100 962,800 60% 1,336,900 25 Special Technical Services Various (see sch 69,100 31,200 27,200 108,100 524,300 194,100 37% 297,200 26 State Fees/Cross Conn./GMA Chrgs 2159 52,600 64,000 65,400 65,300 78,500 75,500 96% 78,500 27 General Ins Alloc 2071 20,800 31,100 26,800 13,700 14,600 14,600 100% 14,600 28 Legal Costs 2158 80,600 81,750 50,250 45,100 47,600 100% 47,600 30 O&M Labor Charges 2205 3,002,700 3,119,300 3,665,700 4,051,600 3,367,500 2,933,800 88% 3,472,000 31 Mgnt & Admin. Sves 2204 279,700 284,900 211,500 16,600 22,000 19,000 86% | 23 | - | | Various (see sch | 215.700 | 178.900 | 184.500 | 207.900 | 229,200 | 146.600 | 64% | 261.000 |
| Special Technical Services Various (see sch 69,100 31,200 27,200 108,100 524,300 194,100 37% 297,200 258 51stee Fees/Cross Conn,/GMA Chrgs 2159 52,600 64,000 65,400 65,300 78,500 75,500 96% 78,500 | | | | , | | | · | • | | | 60% | |
| State Fees/Cross Conn/GMA Chrgs | | | | | · · | | | | | | | |
| 27 General Ins Alloc 2071 20,800 31,100 26,800 13,700 14,600 14,600 100% 14,600 28 Legal Costs 2185 15,600 95,100 499,500 348,200 601,000 176,000 29% 201,000 29 Indirect Recovery 2158 80,600 81,750 50,250 45,100 47,600 3,367,500 2,953,800 88% 3,472,000 30 08M Labor Charges 2205 3,002,700 3,119,300 3,665,700 4,051,600 3,367,500 2,953,800 88% 3,472,000 31 Mgnt & Admin.Svcs 2204 279,700 284,900 211,500 306,500 385,300 289,000 75% 385,300 380 380 289,000 75% 385,300 380 380 289,000 75% 385,300 380 | 26 | | | | | | | | | | 96% | |
| 28 | | | J- | | | | | | , | | | |
| 29 | | | | 2185 | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | 29% | |
| 30 O&M Labor Charges 2205 3,002,700 3,119,300 3,665,700 4,051,600 3,367,500 2,953,800 88% 3,472,000 31 Mgnt & Admin. Svs 2204 279,700 284,900 211,500 306,500 385,300 289,000 75% 385,300 32 Water Analysis 2188 22,500 31,900 15,100 16,600 22,000 19,000 86% 21,100 33 Water System Power 2313 465,600 436,300 629,300 733,100 778,300 656,400 84% 860,900 34 Water Purchase 2312 12,263,200 10,823,500 11,365,600 13,123,200 12,022,400 9,709,200 81% 12,214,800 36 Groundwater Extraction 2312 21,900 21,900 32,100 41,400 43,900 66,700 152% 87,800 39 Meter Replacement & Change Outs 2264 379,700 476,000 979,200 528,600 260,000 206,000 79% 260,100 39 Meter Replacement & Change Outs 2264 379,700 476,000 979,200 528,600 260,000 206,000 79% 260,100 40 Depreciation Expense 3361 796,200 777,600 815,500 798,700 837,700 837,700 839,700 839,700 839,700 839,700 102% 923,700 40 Depreciation Expense 3361 796,200 777,600 815,500 798,700 837,700 839, | 29 | • | | 2158 | | | | | | | 100% | |
| Mgnt & Admin.Sucs 2204 279,700 284,900 211,500 306,500 385,300 289,000 75% 385,300 32 Water Analysis 2188 22,500 31,900 15,100 16,600 22,000 19,000 86% 21,100 33 Water System Power 2313 465,600 436,300 629,300 733,100 778,300 656,400 84% 860,900 34 Water Purchase 2312 12,263,200 10,823,500 11,365,600 13,123,200 12,022,400 9,709,200 81% 12,214,800 36 Groundwater Extraction 2312 21,900 21,900 32,100 41,400 43,900 66,700 152% 87,800 37 Water Conservation Program 2159 - | 30 | , | | 2205 | | | | | | | 88% | |
| Water Analysis 2188 22,500 31,900 15,100 16,600 22,000 19,000 86% 21,100 33 Water System Power 2313 465,600 436,300 629,300 733,100 778,300 656,400 84% 860,900 34 Water Purchase 2312 12,263,200 10,823,500 11,365,600 13,123,200 12,022,400 9,709,200 81% 12,214,800 36 Groundwater Extraction 2312 21,900 21,900 32,100 41,400 43,900 66,700 152% 87,800 37 Water Conservation Program 2159 - | 31 | | | 2204 | 279,700 | | 211,500 | 306,500 | 385,300 | 289,000 | 75% | 385,300 |
| 34 Water Purchase 2312 12,263,200 10,823,500 11,365,600 13,123,200 12,022,400 9,709,200 81% 12,214,800 36 Groundwater Extraction 2312 21,900 21,900 32,100 41,400 43,900 66,700 152% 87,800 37 Water Conservation Program 2159 - - - 4,000 - 0% - 38 Geographic Info. Syst, 2203 - - - - 1,000 - 0% 1,000 39 Meter Replacement & Change Outs 2264 379,700 476,000 979,200 528,600 260,000 206,000 79% 260,100 40 Depreciation Expense 3361 796,200 777,600 815,500 798,700 837,700 850,900 102% 923,700 41 ACFR ADJ 816,848,700 \$17,386,550 19,750,732 21,545,339 \$20,828,400 \$16,275,840 78% 20,371,040 47 48 Result of Operation (\$894,000 | 32 | | | 2188 | | | | | | | 86% | |
| 34 Water Purchase 2312 12,263,200 10,823,500 11,365,600 13,123,200 12,022,400 9,709,200 81% 12,214,800 36 Groundwater Extraction 2312 21,900 21,900 32,100 41,400 43,900 66,700 152% 87,800 37 Water Conservation Program 2159 - - - 4,000 - 0% - 38 Geographic Info. Syst, 2203 - - - - 1,000 - 0% 1,000 39 Meter Replacement & Change Outs 2264 379,700 476,000 979,200 528,600 260,000 206,000 79% 260,100 40 Depreciation Expense 3361 796,200 777,600 815,500 798,700 837,700 850,900 102% 923,700 41 ACFR ADJ 816,848,700 \$17,386,550 19,750,732 21,545,339 \$20,828,400 \$16,275,840 78% 20,371,040 47 48 Result of Operation (\$894,000 | 33 | , | | 2313 | | | | | | 656.400 | 84% | 860.900 |
| 36 Groundwater Extraction 2312 21,900 21,900 32,100 41,400 43,900 66,700 152% 87,800 37 Water Conservation Program 2159 - - - - 4,000 - 0% - 38 Geographic Info. Syst. 2203 - - - - 1,000 - 0% 1,000 39 Meter Replacement & Change Outs 2264 379,700 476,000 979,200 528,600 260,000 206,000 79% 260,100 40 Depreciation Expense 3361 796,200 777,600 815,500 798,700 837,700 850,900 102% 923,700 41 ACFR ADJ (88,600) \$17,386,550 19,750,732 21,545,339 \$20,828,400 \$16,275,840 78% 20,371,040 43 Total - O&M Expenditure \$18,648,700 \$17,386,550 19,750,732 21,545,339 \$20,828,400 \$16,02,560 -320% \$1,295,260 45 T | | · | | | | | | | | | | |
| 37 Water Conservation Program 2159 - - - - 4,000 - 0% - - - | 36 | Groundwater Extraction | | 2312 | | | | | | | 152% | |
| 38 Geographic Info. Syst, 2203 - - - 1,000 - 0% 1,000 39 Meter Replacement & Change Outs 2264 379,700 476,000 979,200 528,600 260,000 206,000 79% 260,100 40 Depreciation Expense 3361 796,200 777,600 815,500 798,700 837,700 850,900 102% 923,700 41 ACFR ADJ (28,600) 110,182 8,739 - (92,460) (92,460) 42 Total - O&M Expenditure \$18,648,700 \$17,386,550 19,750,732 21,545,339 \$20,828,400 \$16,275,840 78% 20,371,040 43 Image: Company of the Company o | | | | | | | | | | - | | - |
| 39 Meter Replacement & Change Outs 2264 379,700 476,000 979,200 528,600 260,000 206,000 79% 260,100 | | , and the same of | | | _ | _ | _ | _ | | _ | | 1 000 |
| 40 Depreciation Expense 3361 796,200 777,600 815,500 798,700 837,700 850,900 102% 923,700 41 ACFR ADJ (28,600) 110,182 8,739 - (92,460) (92,460) 42 Total - O&M Expenditure \$18,648,700 \$17,386,550 19,750,732 21,545,339 \$20,828,400 \$16,275,840 78% 20,371,040 43 Result of Operation (\$894,000) (\$218,050) (\$455,832) \$511,161 (\$501,100) \$1,602,560 -320% \$1,295,260 45 Transfer to/fr Capital Reserve \$894,000 \$218,050 \$455,832 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 47 Image: Company of the Capital Reserve \$894,000 \$218,050 \$455,832 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 48 Ending Balances \$0 \$0 \$0 \$511,161 \$10,061 \$2,113,721 \$1,806,421 50 Minimum Balance \$0 \$0 \$0 \$53,86,335 \$5,207,100 \$4,068,960 \$5,092 | | | Outs | | 379.700 | 476.000 | 979.200 | 528.600 | | 206.000 | | |
| ACFR ADJ | | | | | | , | , | | | · | | |
| 42 Total - O&M Expenditure \$18,648,700 \$17,386,550 19,750,732 21,545,339 \$20,828,400 \$16,275,840 78% 20,371,040 43 Result of Operation (\$894,000) (\$218,050) (\$455,832) \$511,161 (\$501,100) \$1,602,560 -320% \$1,295,260 45 Transfer to/fr Capital Reserve \$894,000 \$218,050 \$455,832 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 47 Transfer to/fr Capital Reserve \$894,000 \$218,050 \$455,832 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 48 Ending Balances \$0 \$0 \$0 \$511,161 \$10,061 \$2,113,721 \$1,806,421 49 Minimum Balance \$0 \$0 \$511,161 \$10,061 \$2,113,721 \$1,806,421 51 25% of O&M expenses \$4,662,175 \$4,346,638 \$4,937,683 \$5,386,335 \$5,207,100 \$4,068,960 \$5,092,760 52 10% of rate revenue \$1,683,200 \$1,618,990 \$1,839,530 \$2,140,060 \$1,928,800 \$1,688,530 \$2,049,360 | | | | | . 30,230 | , | , | | - | | .0270 | |
| 43 Result of Operation (\$894,000) (\$218,050) (\$455,832) \$511,161 (\$501,100) \$1,602,560 -320% \$1,295,260 45 Image: standard control of Capital Reserve \$894,000 \$218,050 \$455,832 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 46 Transfer to/fr Capital Reserve \$894,000 \$218,050 \$455,832 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 47 Image: standard control of Capital Reserve \$0 | | | | | \$18,648.700 | , , , | | · | \$20,828.400 | | 78% | |
| 44 Result of Operation (\$894,000) (\$218,050) (\$455,832) \$511,161 (\$501,100) \$1,602,560 -320% \$1,295,260 45 Image: standard control of Capital Reserve \$894,000 \$218,050 \$455,832 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 47 Image: standard control of Capital Reserve \$0 </td <td></td> <td> </td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>,,</td> <td></td> <td>-,,</td> <td>,,</td> <td>,</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-,,</td> | | | | 1 | ,, | | -,, | ,, | , | | | -,, |
| 45 S | | Result of Operation | | | (\$894,000) | (\$218,050) | (\$455,832) | \$511,161 | (\$501,100) | \$1,602,560 | -320% | \$1,295,260 |
| 46 Transfer to/fr Capital Reserve \$894,000 \$218,050 \$455,832 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 47 Image: Control of the control | | | | | | | , | | | | | |
| 47 Sending Balances \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ | | Transfer to/fr Capital Reserve | | 1 | \$894,000 | \$218,050 | \$455,832 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 |
| 49 Image: square of the square | 47 | | | | - | | - | | | | | · |
| 49 Image: square of the square | 48 | Ending Balances | | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$511,161 | \$10,061 | \$2,113,721 | | \$1,806,421 |
| 50 Minimum Balance 4,662,175 \$4,346,638 \$4,937,683 \$5,386,335 \$5,207,100 \$4,068,960 \$5,092,760 52 10% of rate revenue \$1,683,200 \$1,618,990 \$1,839,530 \$2,140,060 \$1,928,800 \$1,688,530 \$2,049,360 53 8 9 8 8 8 9 8 8 8 9 8 8 8 9 8 8 8 9 8 1,928,800 \$1,688,530 \$2,049,360 \$3 8 8 8 9 8 8 8 9 8 1,688,530 \$2,049,360 \$3 | 49 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 51 25% of O&M expenses \$4,662,175 \$4,346,638 \$4,937,683 \$5,386,335 \$5,207,100 \$4,068,960 \$5,092,760 52 10% of rate revenue \$1,683,200 \$1,618,990 \$1,839,530 \$2,140,060 \$1,928,800 \$1,688,530 \$2,049,360 53 \$2,049,360 \$2,049,360 \$2,049,360 \$2,049,360 | | Minimum Balance | | | | | | | | | | |
| 52 10% of rate revenue \$1,683,200 \$1,618,990 \$1,839,530 \$2,140,060 \$1,928,800 \$1,688,530 \$2,049,360 53 \$2,049,360 \$2,049,360 \$2,049,360 \$2,049,360 \$2,049,360 \$2,049,360 \$2,049,360 \$2,049,360 \$2,049,360 \$2,049,360 | | | ises | | \$4,662,175 | \$4,346,638 | \$4,937,683 | \$5,386,335 | \$5,207,100 | \$4,068,960 | | \$5,092,760 |
| 53 | | | | | | | | | | | | \$2,049,360 |
| | 53 | | | | - | | - | | - | - | | |
| | 00 | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | FY22 ADJ | | BUDGET to | FY22 YR-END |
|-----|---------------------------------------|-------------|------------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------------|---|---|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| 61 | Acquisition&Replacement Fund | | | FY18 ACTUAL | FY19 ACTUAL | FY20 ACTUAL | FY21 ACTUAL | BUDGET | FY22 ACTUAL | ACTUAL % | PRJ |
| 62 | Beginning Balances | | | \$10,817,500 | \$10,228,800 | \$10,501,500 | \$10,973,293 | \$10,291,093 | \$10,291,093 | | \$10,291,093 |
| 64 | Capital Sources of Funds | | | | | | | | | | |
| 65 | Interest Earnings | | 8911 | 123,000 | 233,000 | 207,100 | 55,100 | 50,900 | - | 0% | 29,800 |
| 66 | State Grants | | 9252 | 15,600 | 12,500 | 7,300 | 7,900 | - | 2,500 | 0,0 | 2,500 |
| 67 | Capital Improv Charges | | 9615 | 67,800 | 58,600 | 220,300 | 5,400 | 542,200 | 71,500 | 13% | 140,000 |
| 68 | Long Term Debt Proceeds | | 9841 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1370 | 140,000 |
| 69 | Other Revenue-Misc | ADR Incenti | | | _ | _ | _ | _ | | | |
| 70 | Depreciation Expense | CY Funded | 3611 | 796,200 | 777,600 | 815,500 | 798,700 | 837,700 | 850,900 | 102% | 923,700 |
| 71 | ACFR ADJ | Cirunaca | 3011 | 130,200 | 777,000 | 783,575 | 399,700 | 031,100 | 2,408,117 | 10270 | 2,408,117 |
| 72 | Total - Capital Sources of Funds | | | \$1,002,600 | 1,081,700 | 2,033,775 | 1,266,800 | 1,430,800 | 3,333,017 | 233% | 3,504,117 |
| 73 | Total - Capital Sources of Fullus | ' I | | \$1,002,000 | 1,001,700 | 2,033,773 | 1,200,000 | 1,430,000 | 3,333,017 | 23370 | 3,304,117 |
| 74 | Capital Uses of Funds | | | | | | | | | | |
| 75 | Water System Improvement: | | | | | | | | | | |
| 76 | <u> </u> | | 4112/2112 | (100) | _ | 59,500 | 57,900 | 415,000 | _ | 0% | |
| 80 | Misc Water System Improveme | ent | | (100) | | 59,500 | 57,900 | | | 0% | |
| | SCADA Improvements | L | 4112 | | - 126 100 | - 141 600 | | 50,000 | - | | 50,000 |
| 82 | Stockton Reservoir #2 Replace | | 4112 | 232,300 | 136,100 | 141,600 | 47,800 | 3,417,100 | 42,300 | 1% | 250,800 |
| 84 | Walnut Acres Tract 4 Wtr Line I | _ • | 4112 | 94,800 | 1,200 | - | - 2700 | - | - | 201 | - |
| 87 | Well 95 MCC Replacement - re | | 4112 | - | - | - | 8,700 | 525,400 | - | 0% | - |
| 89 | Reservoir Re-Coating - Peach F | | | - | - | - | - | 349,000 | - | 0% | - |
| 91 | Reservoir Re-Coating - College | | 4112 | - | - | - | 522,400 | 1,874,200 | 978,600 | 52% | 1,438,100 |
| 92 | Reservoir Re-Coating - Grimes, | | | - | - | - | - | 250,000 | - | 0% | - |
| 93 | Reservoir Re-Coating - Various | Reservoir | 4112 | - | - | 547,100 | 970,000 | - | - | | - |
| 94 | Princeton Widening Proj | | 4112 | 200 | 12,500 | 500 | 4,700 | - | 3,500 | | 174,000 |
| 95 | S&K Generator | | 4112 | - | - | - | 12,600 | 228,100 | 70,100 | 31% | 71,800 |
| 96 | Portable Generator (Well 98) | | 4112 | - | - | - | - | 500,000 | - | 0% | - |
| 97 | Re-Drill Well 97 | | 4112 | - | - | - | 38,300 | 1,690,600 | 54,500 | 3% | 82,600 |
| 98 | Valve Replacement | | 4112 | - | - | - | - | 240,000 | 1 | 0% | - |
| 99 | Total Water System Improvement | ent | | \$327,200 | \$149,800 | \$748,700 | \$1,662,400 | \$9,539,400 | \$1,149,000 | | \$2,067,300 |
| 100 | Water Constructiont Project: | | | | | | | | | | |
| 101 | Home Acres Reservoir & Pipeli | ne | 4114 | - | - | 20,100 | 2,300 | - | (22,500) | | (22,600) |
| 102 | Grimes Reservoir 2 | | 4114 | - | - | - | - | - | 22,500 | | 22,500 |
| 103 | Moorpark Desalter (Study/EIR/ | PDR) | 4114 | 107,000 | 113,400 | 214,600 | 152,800 | 383,400 | 44,600 | 12% | 108,800 |
| 104 | Moorpark Stormwater Diversio | n Project | 4114 | | | | | 200,000 | - | 0% | - |
| 105 | Moorpark Groundwater Recha | rge Project | 4114 | | | | | 300,000 | - | 0% | - |
| 106 | Stockton Reservoir #2 | | 4114 | | | | | - | - | | - |
| 107 | Total Water Construction Proje | ct | | \$107,000 | \$113,400 | \$234,700 | \$155,100 | \$883,400 | \$44,600 | | \$108,700 |
| 108 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 109 | Land Purchased | | 4011 | - | - | - | - | 300,000 | - | 0% | 100,000 |
| 110 | Indirect Recovery | | 2158 | 80,600 | 81,750 | 50,250 | 45,100 | 47,600 | 47,600 | 100% | 47,600 |
| 111 | Contract Proc-Eng Svcs | | 2204 | 17,000 | 16,500 | 9,100 | 20,200 | 20,200 | 40,100 | 199% | 40,100 |
| 112 | System Maint, Repairs & Replc. | | Various (see sch | 19,700 | 132,500 | 25,000 | 9,400 | 335,000 | - | 0% | - |
| 113 | Equipment/Software | | 4601/4701 | - | 14,900 | 27,300 | 31,600 | 133,400 | 113,300 | 85% | 137,300 |
| 114 | Contributions-ISF & Other Fund | ls | - | 145,800 | 82,100 | 11,100 | 25,200 | 65,100 | - | 0% | 65,100 |
| 115 | Total - Capital Uses of Funds | | | \$697,300 | \$590,950 | \$1,106,150 | \$1,949,000 | \$11,324,100 | \$1,394,600 | 270 | \$2,566,100 |
| 116 | | | | | | | , | , , , , , , , , | . ,, | | . ,, |
| 117 | Net Cash Balance | | | \$305,300 | \$490,750 | \$927,625 | (\$682,200) | (\$9,893,300) | \$1,938,417 | | \$938,017 |
| 118 | | | | | , | | (,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | (,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | | | , |
| 119 | Transfer to/fr O&M / Rate Stabiliz | ation Fund | | (\$894,000) | (\$218,050) | (\$455,832) | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 |
| 120 | Ending Cash Reserve | acion i unu | | \$10,228,800 | \$10,501,500 | \$10,973,293 | \$10,291,093 | \$397,793 | \$12,229,510 | | \$11,229,110 |
| 0 | | | | \$. 5/LL0/000 | \$. 0,50 1,500 | ¥.5,515,E33 | \$. 0,25 1,055 | 4331,133 | \$. L, LL J, J 10 | | ψ, <u>LL</u> 3,110 |
| | | 1 | | | | | | | | | |

WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 1 - WATER OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE FINANCIAL STATUS REPORT

| | CURRENT PERIOD ACTIVITIES TO | AP11 ENDED 5/31/20 | 122 | | | | |
|----------|--|--------------------|--------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|--|
| | CONNENT ENGLY ACTIVITIES TO | ATTI ENDED 3/31/20 | ,22 | | | | |
| | | A | В | С | D | E | F |
| | | A | | C | D | | F |
| | | ACTUAL | ADJUSTED BUDGET | CURRENT | % of | PROJECTION | CURRENT PERIOD |
| | DESCRIPTION | FY 21 | FY 22 | ACTUAL | Act/Adpt Bud | FY 22 | COMMENTS |
| 1 | EXPENDITURES | | | | | | |
| 2 | Phone/Supplies/Bad Deb/Misc | 207.90 | 229.2 | 146.6 | 64.0% | 261.0 | |
| 3 | System Maint, Repairs & Replc. | 1,148.60 | 1,611.1 | 962.8 | 59.8% | 1,336.9 | |
| 4 | Pipes, Fitting, Valves & Other Maint. Supp | 567.00 | 664.5 | 468.7 | 70.5% | 563.8 | Various vendors |
| 5 | Maintenance Contract | 486.20 | 866.6 | 402.5 | 46.4% | 671.3 | Various vendors |
| 6 | Water System Maintenance Supplies | 95.40 | 80.0 | 91.6 | 114.5% | 101.8 | |
| 7 | Equipment Rental | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 8 | Special Technical Services | 108.10 | 524.3 | 194.1 | 37.0% | 297.2 | |
| 9 | Urban Water MGMT Plan & Other Special Tech S | 74.30 | 348.4 | 98.2 | 28.2% | 188.4 | |
| 10 | Drafting & Map/Construction Standard Updates | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 11 | Reservoir & Well Insp | - | 31.5 | - | - | - | |
| 12 | Construction Inspection | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 13 | GIS Conversions | - | 30.0 | - | - | - | |
| 14 | SCADA Integration & Troubleshooting | - | 10.0 | - | - 405.00/ | - | |
| 15 | Engr. & Tech Surveys | 21.40 | 58.0 | 61.0 | 105.2% | 61.0 | |
| 16 | Design & Print CCR Rpt and Others | 12.40 | 7.6 | 5.9 | 77.6% | 9.0 | |
| 17 | Consultant - Rate Study | - | 38.8 | 29.0 | 74.7% | 38.8 | |
| | State Fees/Cross Conn./GMA Chrgs General Ins Alloc | 65.30 | 78.5 | 75.6 | 96.3% | 78.5 | |
| 19 | Legal Costs | 13.70 | 14.6 601.0 | 14.6 | 100.0% | 14.6 | |
| - | Indirect Recovery | 348.20 45.10 | 47.6 | 176.0 47.6 | 29.3% 100.0% | 201.0 47.6 | |
| - | O&M Labor Charges | 4,051.60 | 3,367.5 | 2,953.8 | 87.7% | | PWA Labor |
| - | Mgnt & Admin.Svcs | 306.50 | 385.3 | 289.0 | 75.0% | 385.3 | T WA LABOT |
| \vdash | Water Analysis | 16.60 | 22.0 | 19.0 | 86.4% | 21.1 | |
| | Water System Power | 733.10 | 778.3 | 656.4 | 84.3% | 860.9 | |
| - | Water Purchase | 13,123.20 | 12,022.4 | 9,709.2 | 80.8% | | Purchases (Calleguas Inv) |
| \vdash | Groundwater Extraction | 41.40 | 43.9 | 66.7 | 151.9% | 87.8 | Wtr system/cross conn fees/Groundwtr Extract |
| | Meter Replacement & Change Outs | 528.60 | 260.0 | 206.0 | 79.2% | 260.1 | With dystern brood committees, creamann Extract |
| - | Water Conservation Program | - | 4.0 | - | - | - | |
| _ | Geographic Info. Syst, | - | 1.0 | - | - | 1.0 | |
| - | Depreciation Expense | 798.70 | 837.7 | 850.9 | 101.6% | 923.7 | |
| _ | TOTAL EXPENDITURES | 21,536.60 | 20,828.4 | 16,368.3 | 78.6% | 20,463.5 | |
| 33 | REVENUES | | | | | | |
| 34 | | | | | | | |
| 35 | Interest Earnings | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 36 | Rent & Concessions | 360.80 | 383.1 | 362.6 | 94.6% | 383.1 | |
| 37 | Federal & State Aid | - | - | 1.7 | - | 1.7 | |
| 38 | Planning And Eng Svc External | 33.90 | 75.0 | 18.8 | 25.1% | 75.0 | Plan Check & Insp fee |
| 39 | Water Sales | 21,400.60 | 19,288.0 | 16,885.3 | 87.5% | 20,493.6 | Current Sales |
| 40 | Other Sales/ Revenues | 181.60 | 219.8 | 181.6 | 82.6% | 248.7 | Fire protect'n, mtr sales, Spec Asses, permit fe |
| 41 | Other Revenue-Misc | 79.60 | 361.4 | 428.4 | 118.5% | 464.2 | Customer late fees/other charges, misc rev |
| 42 | Gain/Loss Capital Asset | - | - | - | - | - | Disposal of Assets |
| 43 | TOTAL REVENUES | 22,056.50 | 20,327.3 | 17,878.4 | 88.0% | 21,666.3 | |
| 44 | NET OF OPERATION | 520.00 | (501.1) | 1,510.1 | | 1,202.8 | |
| 45 | O&M Fund Balance Reserve: | | | | | | |
| | | | 511.0 | E11 0 | | E11.0 | |
| | Beginning Fund Balance | - (2 - 2) | 511.2 | 511.2 | | 511.2 | |
| | ACFR Adjustment | (8.70) | | 92.5 | | 92.5 | |
| 48 | Transfer to/fr Capital | - | | - | | - | |
| 49 | Net of Operation | 519.90 | (501.1) | 1,510.1 | | 1,202.8 | |
| 50 | O&M Fund Balance Reserve: | 511.20 | 10.1 | 2,113.8 | - | 1,806.5 | |
| 51 | Required O&M Fund Reserve: 25% of Exp. | 5,384.15 | 5,207.1 | 4,092.1 | 0.2 | 5,115.9 | |

WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 1 - WATER CAPITAL PROJECTS FINANCIAL STATUS REPORT

| | CURRENT PERIOD ACTIVITIES TO | AD11 ENDE | D 5/21/2022 | | | | | | | |
|----------|---|-----------|---|--------------------|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|------------|--|
| | CORREIN FERIOD ACTIVITIES TO | A A | B | В | С | D | E | F | Н | 1 |
| | | ACTUAL | ADOPTED BUDGET | ADJUSTED BUDGET | | RENT PERIO | | % of | PROJECTION | CURRENT PERIOD |
| | PEROPRETION | EV 04 | | | EVD/DEV | ENO | TOTAL | A - t/D t | EV 00 | COMMENTO |
| | DESCRIPTION EXPENDITURES | FY 21 | FY 22 | FY 22 | EXP/REV | ENC | TOTAL | Act/Bud | FY 22 | COMMENTS |
| <u> </u> | | 57.0 | 445.0 | 445.0 | | | | | | |
| | Misc Water System Improvement | 57.9 | 415.0 | 415.0 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| | SCADA Improvements | - | 50.0 | 50.0 | - | - | - | - | 50.0 | |
| _ | System Maint, Repairs & Replc. | 9.4 | 335.0 | 335.0 | - | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.00/ | - | |
| | Stockton Reservoir #2 Replacement | 47.8 | 3,350.0 | 3,417.1 | 42.3 | 67.1 | 109.4 | 1.2% | 250.8 | |
| | Well 95 MCC Replacement - reuse Well 96 Reservoir Re-Coating - Peach Hill & Tierra Rejada | 8.7 | 510.0 349.0 | 525.4 349.0 | - | 15.4 | 15.4 | - | - | |
| | | | | | 978.6 | 124.1 | 4 400 7 | 52.2% | 4 420 4 | |
| _ | Reservoir Re-Coating - College Reservoir Reservoir Re-Coating - Grimes/Home Acres | 522.4 | 1,060.0 250.0 | 1,874.2 250.0 | 978.6 | 124.1 | 1,102.7 | 52.2% | 1,438.1 | |
| | Reservoir Re-Coating - Various Reservoir | 970.0 | - | - | | | _ | | - | |
| | Princeton Widening Proj | 4.7 | | | 3.5 | | 3.5 | - | 174.0 | |
| _ | S&K Generator | 12.6 | 160.0 | 228.1 | 70.1 | 1.1 | 71.2 | 30.7% | 71.8 | |
| - | Portable Generator (Well 98) | 12.0 | 500.0 | 500.0 | 70.1 | 1.1 | - 1.2 | 30.7 /6 | - 71.0 | |
| | Re-Drill Well 97 | 38.3 | 1,600.0 | 1,690.6 | 54.5 | 90.6 | 145.1 | 3.2% | 82.6 | |
| _ | Valve Replacement | - | 240.0 | 240.0 | J4.5 | 90.6 | 145.1 | 3.2% | - 02.0 | |
| 15 | Sub-Total Replacement FD | 1671.8 | 8,819.0 | 9,874.4 | 1,149.0 | 302.4 | 1,451.4 | 11.6% | 2,067.3 | |
| - | Indirect Recovery | 45.1 | 47.6 | 9,674.4 | 47.6 | - 302.4 | 47.6 | 100.0% | 47.6 | |
| _ | Contract Proc-Eng Svcs | 20.2 | 20.2 | 20.2 | 40.1 | | 40.1 | 198.5% | 40.1 | |
| - | Equipment/Software | 31.6 | 34.0 | 133.4 | 113.3 | | 113.3 | 84.9% | 137.3 | |
| | Sub-Total | 96.9 | 101.8 | 201.2 | 201.0 | | 201.0 | 99.9% | 225.0 | |
| | Land Purchased | 0.0 | 300.0 | 300.0 | 201.0 | | 201.0 | 33.370 | 100.0 | |
| _ | Home Acres Reservoir & Pipeline | 2.3 | - | - | (22.5) | 6.5 | (16.0) | _ | | Transfer cost to new Proj (Grimes Re |
| _ | Grimes Reservoir 2 | 0.0 | | | 22.5 | (6.5) | 16.0 | - | 22.5 | Transfer cost to flew Proj (Gillies Ne |
| | | | | | | | | - | | |
| | Moorpark Desalter (Study/EIR/PDR) | 152.8 | 300.0 | 383.4 | 44.6 | 58.8 | 103.4 | 11.6% | 108.8 | |
| 24 | Moorpark Stormwater Diversion Project | 0.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 25 | Moorpark Groundwater Recharge Project | 0.0 | 300.0 | 300.0 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 26 | Sub-Total | 155.1 | 1,100.0 | 1,183.4 | 44.6 | 58.8 | 103.4 | 3.8% | 208.7 | |
| 27 | Contributions-ISF & Other Funds | 25.2 | 65.1 | 65.1 | - | - | - | - | 65.1 | |
| 28 | Sub-Total Capital Acq. FD | 277.2 | 1,266.9 | 1,449.7 | 245.6 | 58.8 | 304.4 | 16.9% | 498.8 | |
| | Total Expenditures | 1,949.0 | 10,085.9 | 11,324.1 | 1,394.6 | 361.2 | 1,755.8 | 12.3% | 2,566.1 | |
| 30 | REVENUES | , | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | ,- | , | | , | - | ,,,,,, | |
| _ | Interest Earnings | 55.1 | 50.9 | 50.9 | _ | _ | _ | _ | 29.8 | |
| _ | State Grants | 7.9 | - | - | 2.5 | - | 2.5 | - | 2.5 | |
| | Capital Improv Charges | 5.4 | 542.2 | 542.2 | 71.5 | - | 71.5 | 13.2% | 140.0 | |
| _ | Long Term Debt Proceeds | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| | Other Revenue-Misc | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| | Total Revenues | 68.4 | 593.1 | 593.1 | 74.0 | - | 74.0 | 12.5% | 172.3 | |
| 37 | Net of Operation | (1,880.6) | (9,492.8) | (10,731.0) | (1,320.6) | (361.2) | (1,681.8) | | (2,393.8) | |
| 38 | Beginning Capital Fund Balance | 10,973.3 | | 10,291.1 | , | , | 10,291.1 | | 10,291.1 | |
| 39 | Transfer to/fr O&M | - | | | | | | | - | |
| 40 | Depreciation Expense | 798.7 | | 837.7 | | - | 923.7 | - | 923.7 | |
| 41 | PY ACFR Adjustments | 399.7 | | | 2,408.1 | | 2,408.1 | | 2,408.1 | |
| 42 | Ending Capital Fund Balance Reserve | 10,291.1 | | 397.8 | | | 11,941.1 | | 11,229.1 | |
| 43 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 44 | CAPITAL FUND BALANCE SUMMARY: | | CAP | REPL | TOTAL | | | | | |
| 45 | BEGIN BALANCE | | 0.0 | 10,291.1 | 10,291.1 | | | | | |
| 46 | NET CY EXPEND | | (498.8) | (2,067.3) | (2,566.1) | | | | | |
| 47 | NET CY REVENUE | | 172.3 | | 172.3 | | | | | |
| 48 | TRANFER TO/FR CAPITAL FUND | | | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 49 | TRANFER TO/FR O&M | | | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 50 | CY DEPRECIATION | | | 923.7 | 923.7 | | | | | |
| 51 | PY ACFR Adjustments | | | 2,408.1 | 2,408.1 | | | | | |
| 52 | ENDING FUND BAL | | (326.5) | 11,555.6 | 11,229.1 | | | | | |

| | CURRENT PERIOD ACTIVITIES 1 | TO AP 11 ENDED 5/31/ | 2022 | | | | | | | |
|----------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|-------------------|
| | WW#1 Moorpark Sanitation Service | | | | | | | | | |
| | Cash Flow Analysis | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Total Beginning Balances | | \$7,995,700 | \$7,710,800 | \$8,055,200 | \$9,637,568 | \$10,399,125 | \$10,399,125 | | \$10,399,125 |
| 2 | Total Ending Balances | | \$7,710,800 | \$8,055,200 | \$9,637,568 | \$10,399,125 | \$5,088,625 | \$11,673,725 | | \$10,891,025 |
| 3 | | | | | | | | | | |
| _ | Total Required Cash Balance | | \$1,670,683 | \$1,749,480 | \$1,844,869 | \$1,872,416 | \$1,951,120 | \$1,563,325 | | \$1,956,913 |
| | Alert | | ok | ok | ok | ok | ok | ok | | ok |
| 6 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | EVO2 ADI | | | EVOS VO END |
| l _ | 001110 1 0110 1 | E140 10E | E1/40 A CET LA L | EV40 4 CT1141 | EV/20 A CELLA | EV.04 A CELLA | FY22 ADJ | E1/22 A CELLAL | | FY22 YR-END |
| _ | O&M/ Rate Stab Fund | FMS ACT# | | FY19 ACTUAL | | FY21 ACTUAL | BUDGET | FY22 ACTUAL | ACTUAL % | PRJ |
| 8 | Beginning Balances | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | <u> </u> | \$0 |
| 9 | 00110 | | | | | | | | | |
| | O&M Revenue | 0201 | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | | 9301 9612 | 4 102 700 | 4 212 200 | 4 270 200 | 4 222 000 | 4 366 300 | 2 641 000 | 020/ | 4 221 000 |
| 12 | | | 4,102,700 | 4,213,300 | 4,370,300 | 4,332,800 | 4,366,200 | 3,641,000 | 83% | 4,321,000 |
| 13 | | 9790 | 34,200 | - | 400 | 1,800 | - | 5,500 | 20/ | 5,500 |
| 14 | | 9481 | (6,500) | 64,200 | 39,900 | 15,600 | 50,000 | 1,300 | 3% | 50,000 |
| 15 16 | | 8931 9614 | 6,200 741,200 | 682,800 | 757,400 | 841,900 | 724,500 | 713,000 | 98% | 806,400 |
| 16 | | 9822 | 741,200 | | 757,400 | 841,900 | 724,500 | /13,000 | 98% | 806,400 |
| - | , | 9022 | £4.077.000 | (4,300) | - *F 460 000 | - 4F 402 400 | - - | ÷4.200.000 | 050/ | ¢5 402 000 |
| 18 19 | | | \$4,877,800 | \$4,956,000 | \$5,168,000 | \$5,192,100 | \$5,140,700 | \$4,360,800 | 85% | \$5,182,900 |
| _ | O&M Expenditures | | | | | | | | | |
| 21 | • | See Sch | 117,800 | 100,700 | 107,400 | 124,800 | 160,900 | 95,300 | 59% | 180,800 |
| 21 | | See Sch | 1,085,700 | 1,450,200 | 1,282,800 | 1,293,600 | 1,521,700 | 815,700 | 54% | 1,268,800 |
| _ | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | See Sch | 70,700 | | 1,262,800 | 17,600 | 189,000 | 600 | | |
| 23 | | 2159 | 47,500 | 109,500 51,700 | 57,900 | 67,100 | 76,000 | 68,300 | 90% | 144,800 75,500 |
| 25 | * | 2071 | 18.700 | 28,000 | 24,200 | 12,500 | 13,200 | 13.200 | 100% | 13,200 |
| 26 | | 2158 | 20,050 | 16,300 | 13,500 | 16,300 | 14,900 | 14,900 | 100% | 14,850 |
| 26 | | 2205 | 1,939,300 | 1,849,700 | 1,981,000 | 2,226,300 | 2,044,700 | 1,915,300 | 94% | 2,247,300 |
| 28 | | 2203 | 140,500 | 1,849,700 | 129,900 | 147,800 | 149,300 | 112,000 | 75% | 149,300 |
| 28 | | 2188 | 31,500 | 36,200 | 33,700 | 46,200 | 34,000 | 41,400 | 122% | 46,600 |
| 30 | , | 2313 | 308,600 | 272,300 | 357,400 | 376,700 | 419,000 | 310,800 | 74% | 419,800 |
| 31 | 3 , | 2104SM04 | 151,400 | 184,000 | 172,100 | 154,300 | 130,000 | 199,100 | 153% | 222,500 |
| 32 | | 3552 | 151,400 | 500 | 172,100 | 154,300 | 130,000 | 199,100 | 133% | 222,300 |
| 33 | , | 3611 | 1,081,700 | 1,079,700 | 1,312,900 | 1,289,500 | 1,305,300 | 1,210,300 | 93% | 1,315,800 |
| 34 | <u> </u> | 3011 | 28,200 | 1,079,700 | 1,512,900 | (16,157) | 1,303,300 | 1,210,300 | 33/0 | 1,313,000 |
| 35 | Total Uses of Funds | | \$5,041,650 | \$5,312,600 | 5,631,357.0 | 5,756,543.0 | \$6,058,000 | \$4,796,900 | 79% | \$6,099,250 |
| 36 | | | \$5,041,030 | ψ3,312,000 | 3,031,331.0 | 3,130,343.0 | 40,030,000 | Ψ-7,190,900 | 1376 | 40,033,230 |
| _ | Result of Operation | | (\$163,850) | (\$356,600) | (\$463,357) | (\$564,443) | (\$917,300) | (\$436,100) | | (\$916,350) |
| 38 | · | | (\$105,650) | (\$330,000) | (¥ , 00,001) | (450-,-43) | (4511,500) | (\$450,100) | | (ψυ 10,000) |
| | Transfer to/fr Capital Reserve | | \$163,850 | \$356,600 | \$463,357 | \$564,443 | \$917,300 | \$436,100 | + | \$916,350 |
| 40 | · | | ¥105,050 | \$330,000 | \$-105,551 | \$50-1 ₁ -1-15 | 4517,500 | ψ-35,100 | | \$5.10,550 |
| _ | Ending Balances | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 |
| 42 | | | | | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | | |
| 43 | | | + | | | | | | | - |
| _ | 25% | O&M expenses | \$1,260,413 | \$1,328,150 | \$1,407,839 | \$1,439,136 | \$1,514,500 | \$1,199,225 | | \$1,524,813 |
| 45 | | of rate revenue | \$410,270 | \$421,330 | \$437,030 | \$433,280 | \$436,620 | \$364,100 | + | \$432,100 |
| 46 | | J Lite revenue | +410,E10 | Ţ /L 1,550 | Ţ.51,030 | Ţ.55,200 | Ţ.50,0 <u>20</u> | +50-1,150 | <u> </u> | Ţ.3 <u>2,100</u> |
| 47 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | l . | 1 | _ I | l | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | FY22 ADJ | | | FY22 YR-END |
|---------------|--|--------------|------------|-------------|-----------------|--------------|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------|--------------|
| _ | Acquisition&Replacement Fund | | | | FY19 ACTUAL | | | BUDGET | FY22 ACTUAL | ACTUAL % | PRJ |
| _ | Beginning Balances | | | \$7,995,700 | \$7,710,800 | \$8,055,200 | \$9,637,568 | \$10,399,125 | \$10,399,125 | | \$10,399,125 |
| 50 | Sources of Funds | | | | | | | | | | |
| 51 | Interest Earnings | 8911 | | 90,900 | 175,600 | 158,900 | 48,400 | 35,500 | 38,700 | 109% | 30,100 |
| 53 | State/Fed Aid | 9252 | - Prop 84 | 27,300 | 2,400 | 156,900 | 46,400 | 600,000 | 36,700 | 0% | 30,100 |
| 54 | Sewer Connection Fees | 9611 | - PTOP 64 | 175,300 | - 2,400 | 124,600 | 5,400 | 108,600 | 26,500 | 24% | 108,600 |
| 55 | Other Revenue & Misc Fees | 9790 | | 173,300 | - | 124,000 | 3,400 | - | 20,300 | 2470 | 100,000 |
| 56 | Solar Photovoltaic System - SCE Incentive | 9790 | | 164,100 | - | - | - | - | | | |
| 57 | Loan Proceed | 9841 | | - | _ | _ | _ | _ | | | |
| 58 | Depreciation Expense | 3611 | CY Funde | 1,081,700 | 1,079,700 | 1,312,900 | 1,289,500 | 1,305,300 | 1,210,300 | 93% | 1,315,800 |
| 59 | PY ACFR Adustment | 3011 | CTTUTA | 1,001,700 | 1,015,100 | 709,625 | 464,800 | 1,505,500 | 634,500 | 3370 | 634,500 |
| - | Total - Sources of Funds | | | \$1,539,300 | \$1,257,700 | 2,306,025.0 | 1,808,100.0 | 2,049,400.0 | 1,910,000.0 | | 2,089,000.0 |
| 61 | | | | | | , | , | | | | |
| 62 | Uses of Funds | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 4113 | | | | | | | | | |
| 64 | General Sewer System Improvements | 4113 | | - | 236,919 | - | - | 100,000 | - | 0% | - |
| 69 | MWTP 3RW Basin and Pump | 4113 | | 213,000 | 149,281 | - | - | - | - | | - |
| 70 | Biolac Automation Upgrade | 4113 | | - | - | 29,100 | - | - | - | | - |
| 71 | Sewer Replacement and Relining | 4113 | | - | - | - | - | 3,000,000 | - | 0% | - |
| 72 | Recycled Wtr Pipe Line @ Rustic Canyon | 4113 | | - | - | - | - | 120,000 | - | 0% | - |
| 73 | Headworks Screen Replacememt | 4113 | | - | - | - | - | 150,000 | - | 0% | - |
| 74 | MWTP Control System Upgrade | 4113 | | - | - | - | - | 600,000 | - | 0% | - |
| 75 | MWRF Generator Replacement | 4113 | | = | = | - | - | - | = | | - |
| 76 | Recycled Wtr Pond Pipe Mod | 4113 | | = | = | = | - | - | = | | = |
| 77 | Equipment: | | | - | - | - | - | - | - | | - |
| 78 | Pump Station No 1, EFF PS Flowmeter | 2112 | | - | - | - | - | 85,000 | - | 0% | - |
| 80 | Replace Pump #3 @ Effl Pond & w/ VFD | 2112 | | - | - | 88,200 | - | - | - | | - |
| 82 | Replace Pump #2 @ Reclaim PS | 2112 | | 38,300 | - | - | - | - | - | | - |
| 83 | Replace Pump #3@ Arroyo Lift Station | 2112 | | - | 50,500 | - | - | - | - | | - |
| 86 | System Maintenance & Supplies | 2112 | | - | - | - | - | - | - | | - |
| 87 | Valve Replacement | 2112 | | - | - | - | - | 20,000 | - | 0% | 15,000 |
| 88 | Access Road Improvement & Others | 2112 | | 43,200 | - | 27,500 | - | - | - | | - |
| 89 | Replace Pump #1 @ Equalization Basin | 2112 | | - | 25,400 | - | - | - | - | | - |
| 90 | Replace Base of Pump #1@ Arroyo Lift Statio | 2112 | | - | - | - | - | 100,000 | - | 0% | - |
| 94 | Repair/Recoat Final Clarifier #2 | 2112 | | 136,900 | - | - | - | - | - | | - |
| 97 | Belt Press Facility Rehab | 2112 | | | | | | | | | |
| 98 | Turbidity Meter Repl MWRF | 2112 | | | | | | | | | |
| 99 | Princeton Sewer | 2112 | | | | | | | | | |
| 100 | Other Replacement & Maintenance | 2112 | | - | - | 29,000 | - | - | | | |
| 101 | System Constructiont Project: | 4116 | | | | | | | | | |
| 102 | Sewer Construction Project | 4116 | | - | - | - | - | - | - | | - |
| 103 | MWTP Office/Lab Bldg Upgrade | 4116 | | 10,300 | 200 | - | - | - | - | | - |
| 104 | Reclaimed Water System - Phase IV | 4116 | | 1,141,100 | 23,900 | - | - 12,000 | - 12 200 | - | 001 | - 12 200 |
| 105 | Sludge Digester | 4116 | | 1,600 | - 10,000 | - | 12,000 | 12,200 | - 5200 | 0% | 12,200 |
| 108 | MWTP Hwy 118 Left Turn Lane | 4116 | | - | 10,900 | 58,800 | 61,300 | 1,367,700 | 5,300 | 0% | 51,600 |
| 109 | Moorpark Desalter for Recycled Water | 4116 | | - | - | = | - | 100,000 | 112 400 | 0% | 241 000 |
| 110 | Water Supply Reliability Program | 4116 4116 | | - | - | - | - | - | 113,400 | | 341,000 |
| 111 | Energy Storage & Micro-Grid Proj | 4116 | | - | - | - | | | 25 700 | 4% | 162,400 |
| 112 113 | Storage Warehouse Total System Construction Project | 4116 | | \$1,584,400 | \$497.100 | \$232,600 | 21,500 \$94,800 | 709,100 \$6,364,000 | 25,700 \$144,400 | 2% | \$582,200 |
| 113 114 | Total System Construction Project | 4110 | | φ1,364,40U | 3431,100 | \$434,0UU | φ94,000 | 30,304,000 | φ 144,40U | 2% | \$302,2UU |
| - | Other Equipment | 4601 | | _ | 5,800 | - | _ | 6,000 | _ | 0% | 8,000 |
| - | Computer Software | 4701 | | - | 3,000 | - | - | 10,000 | | 0% | 8,000 |
| | Contributions To Other Funds | 5111 | | 38,900 | 20,900 | 5,000 | 350,900 | 27,600 | | 0% | 27,600 |
| - | Indirect Recovery | 2158 | 50/50= O | 20,050 | 16,400 | 13,500 | 16,200 | 14,800 | 14,800 | 100% | 14,850 |
| | PWA ES Contract Svcs | 2204ES04 | JU/ JU - U | 17,000 | 16,500 | 9,200 | 20,200 | 20,200 | 40,100 | 199% | 40,100 |
| $\overline{}$ | Contingencies | 6101 | | - | 10,500 | 5,200 | 20,200 | 20,200 | 40,100 | 13370 | 40,100 |
| | PY ACFR Adustment | 0101 | | - | - | - | - | - | | | |
| - | Total - Uses of Funds | | | \$1,660,350 | \$556,700 | \$260,300 | \$482,100 | \$6,442,600 | \$199,300 | 3% | \$680,750 |
| 123 | 10th U3C3 UI I UIIU3 | | | Ψ1,000,330 | Ψ330,100 | Ψ£00,300 | ψ -1 32, 100 | ψυ, ++ ∠,000 | ų 199,300 | 3/0 | ψυου, r 30 |
| - | Net Cash Balance | | | (\$121,050) | \$701,000 | \$2,045,725 | \$1,326,000 | (\$4,393,200) | \$1,710,700 | | \$1,408,250 |
| 125 | | | | (+.21,030) | 4.01,000 | +=,0 +3,1 =3 | Ţ.,520,000 | (+ .,555,250) | Ţ.,. 10,100 | | Ţ., .UU,EJU |
| 123 | | | | (\$163,850) | (\$356,600) | (\$463,357) | (\$564,443) | (\$917,300) | (\$436,100) | | (\$916,350) |
| \rightarrow | Transfer to/fr O&M | | | (\$105,650) | (\$330,000)] | (\$405,557)] | (\$304,443) | (\$917,300) | (\$450,100) | | (4510,550) |

WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 1 - SANITATION DIV. OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE FINANCIAL STATUS REPORT


| | CURRENT PERIOD ACTIVITIES TO | AP 11 ENDE | D 5/31/2022 | | | | | |
|----|--|------------|-------------|------------|---------|-----------|---------|---|
| | | | Α | Α | В | С | D | |
| | | ACTUAL | ADPT BUDGET | ADJ BUDGET | CURRENT | % of | PROJ'N | CURRENT PERIOD |
| | DESCRIPTION | FY 21 | FY 22 | FY 22 | ACTUAL | Act / Bud | 6/30/22 | COMMENTS |
| | EXPENDITURES | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Phone/Supplies/BadDebt/Misc | 124.8 | 160.9 | 160.9 | 95.3 | 59.2% | 180.8 | |
| 2 | System Maintenance & Supplies | 1,293.6 | 1,403.0 | 1,521.7 | 815.7 | 53.6% | 1,268.8 | |
| 3 | Collection System Cleaning | 130.1 | 350.0 | 350.0 | 14.2 | 4.1% | 44.9 | VRSD |
| 4 | Percolation Pond Maint & Pond Dredging | 17.8 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 17.3 | 69.2% | 21.7 | Sharma Gen Eng'g |
| 5 | Removal and Reuse of Biosolids | 268.6 | 230.0 | 230.0 | 182.6 | 79.4% | 201.6 | Tule Ranch/Nursery Products |
| 6 | Pump maintenance & Other Contracts/Supplies | 877.1 | 798.0 | 916.7 | 601.6 | 65.6% | 1,000.6 | Flo Systems, Dieners Elect, Vaughans Ind, etc. |
| 7 | Special Technical Svcs | 17.6 | 180.0 | 189.0 | 0.6 | 0.3% | 144.8 | Flow Montoring, Video Inspec, Eng&Tech, Biosolids |
| 8 | Collection System Flow Monitoring, Odor Contr | 0.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | - | - | |
| 9 | Video Inspect'n/Chloride Control/Trouble Shoot | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | - | 72.3 | |
| 10 | Engineering and Technical Surveys | 15.3 | 40.0 | 42.4 | 0.0 | - | 20.1 | |
| 11 | Biosolids Mgmt Plan, EIR & Other Studies | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | |
| 12 | Misc. Studies | 2.3 | 80.0 | 86.6 | 0.6 | 0.7% | 52.4 | CT Study,Blower Optimization & Others |
| 13 | Fed/State Permit Fees | 67.1 | 76.0 | 76.0 | 68.3 | 89.9% | 75.5 | |
| 14 | General Insurance Allocation Isf | 12.5 | 13.2 | 13.2 | 13.2 | 100.0% | 13.2 | |
| 15 | Indirect Recovery | 16.3 | 14.9 | 14.9 | 14.9 | 100.0% | 14.9 | |
| 16 | O&M Labor | 2,226.3 | 2,044.7 | 2,044.7 | 1,915.3 | 93.7% | 2,247.3 | PWA Labor |
| 17 | Mgnt. & Admin Svcs | 147.8 | 149.3 | 149.3 | 112.0 | 75.0% | 149.3 | |
| 18 | Wastewater Analysis | 46.2 | 34.0 | 34.0 | 41.4 | 121.8% | 46.6 | Fisher Scientific, Hach Co, Environ Res Assoc,FGL |
| 19 | Sewage System Power | 376.7 | 419.0 | 419.0 | 310.8 | 74.2% | 419.8 | SCE charges |
| 20 | Sewage Treatment Costs | 154.3 | 130.0 | 130.0 | 199.1 | 153.2% | 222.5 | Polydyne, HASA |
| 21 | Rights Of Way Easements Purchase | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | |
| 22 | Depreciation Expense | 1,289.5 | 1,305.3 | 1,305.3 | 1,210.3 | 92.7% | 1,315.8 | |
| 23 | TOTAL EXPENDITURES | 5,772.7 | 5,930.3 | 6,058.0 | 4,796.9 | 79.2% | 6,099.3 | |
| 24 | REVENUES | | | | | | | |
| 25 | Rents And Concessions | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | |
| 26 | Plan. Eng Svcs | 15.6 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 1.3 | 2.6% | 50.0 | Plan Check & Inspection fee |
| | Sewer Svcs Charges | 4,332.8 | 4,366.2 | 4,366.2 | 3,641.0 | 83.4% | 4,321.0 | Sewer charges |
| 28 | • | 841.9 | 724.5 | 724.5 | 713.0 | 98.4% | 806.4 | Reclaimed Water Sales |
| 29 | Other Revenue & Misc Fees | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.5 | - | 5.5 | |
| 30 | Gain/Loss Revenue Capital Assets | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | |
| 31 | TOTAL REVENUES | 5,192.1 | 5,140.7 | 5,140.7 | 4,360.8 | 84.8% | 5,182.9 | |
| 32 | NET OF OPERATION | (580.6) | (789.6) | (917.3) | (436.1) | | (916.4) | |
| 33 | BEGIN. FUND BALANCE | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | - | |
| | PY ACFR Adjustments | 16.2 | | | 0.0 | | - | |
| | TRANSFER TO/FROM CAPITAL | 564.4 | 789.6 | 917.3 | 436.1 | | 916.4 | |
| | ENDING O&M FUND BALANCE | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | - | |
| _ | | | | | | | | |

WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 1 - SANITATION DIV. CAPITAL PROJECTS FINANCIAL STATUS REPORT

| | CURRENT PERIOD ACTIVITIES TO | AP 11 ENDE | ED 5/31/2022 | | | | | | | |
|----------------|---|--------------|--------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|----------------|
| | | | В | В | С | D | Е | | | F |
| | | ACTUAL | ADPT BUDGET | ADJ BUDGET | CUF | RENT PERIOD | D FY 20 | % of | PROJ'N | CURRENT PERIOD |
| | DESCRIPTION | FY 21 | FY 22 | FY 22 | EXP/REV | ENC | TOTAL | Act/Bud | 6/30/22 | COMMENTS |
| 1 | EXPENDITURES | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | General Sewer System Improvements | - | 100.0 | 100.0 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 3 | Sewer Replacement and Relining | - | 3,000.0 | 3,000.0 | ı | - | - | - | - | |
| 4 | Recycled Wtr Pipe Line @ Rustic Canyon | - | 120.0 | 120.0 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 5 | Headworks Screen Replacement | - | 150.0 | 150.0 | ı | - | - | - | - | |
| 6 | MWTP Control System Upgrade | - | 600.0 | 600.0 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 7 | Recycled Wtr Pond Pipe Mod | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 8 | MWRF Generator Replacement | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 9 | Equipment: | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | Replace Pump #3 @ Effl Pond & w/ VFD | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 11 | Pump Station No 1, EFF PS Flowmeter | - | 85.0 | 85.0 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 12 | System Maintenance Supplies: | | | | | | | | | |
| 13 | Valve Replacement | - | 20.0 | 20.0 | - | - | - | - | 15.0 | |
| 14 | Replace Base of Pump #3 @ Arroyo Lift Station | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 15 | Replace Base of Pump #1 @ Arroyo Lift Station | - | 100.0 | 100.0 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 16 | Other Replacement & Maintenance | - | - 4.75.0 | 4.475.0 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 17 | TOTAL REPLACEMENT FD | - | 4,175.0 | 4,175.0 | - | - | - | - | 15.0 | |
| 18 | Land Purchase | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 19 | Special Technical Svcs | | | | | | - 44.0 | | - | |
| 20 | Indirect Recovery PWA ES Contract Svcs | 16.2 20.2 | 14.8 20.2 | 14.8 20.2 | 14.8 40.1 | - | 14.8 40.1 | 100.0% 198.5% | 14.9 40.1 | |
| 22 | | 12.0 | - 20.2 | 12.2 | 40.1 | 12.2 | 40.1 12.2 | 198.5% | 12.2 | |
| | Sludge Digester | 12.0 | | | | 12.2 | 12.2 | - | 12.2 | |
| | Moorpark Desalter for Recycled Water Water Supply Reliability Program | - | 100.0 | 100.0 | 113.4 | - | 113.4 | - | 341.0 | |
| 25 | Energy Storage & Micro-Grid Proj | - | - | | 113.4 | - | - 113.4 | - | 341.0 | |
| 26 | Storage Warehouse | 21.5 | 700.0 | 709.1 | 25.7 | - | 25.7 | 3.6% | 162.4 | |
| | MWTP Hwy 118 Left Turn Lane | 61.3 | 1,250.0 | 1,367.7 | 5.3 | 117.7 | 123.0 | 0.4% | 51.6 | |
| | Equipment: | - 01.3 | 1,230.0 | - | - | - 117.7 | 123.0 | - 0.478 | - | |
| 29 | Construction Equipment | - | | | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | _ | 0.0 | |
| 30 | Computer Software | - | 10.0 | 10.0 | - | - | - | | 8.0 | |
| 31 | Other equipment | - | 6.0 | 6.0 | - | 8.0 | 8.0 | - | 8.0 | |
| 32 | Contributions To Other Funds | 350.9 | 27.6 | 27.6 | - | - | - | - | 27.6 | |
| 33 | Contingencies | - | - | - | _ | _ | _ | _ | - | |
| 34 | TOTAL CAPITAL ACQ. FD | 482.1 | 2,128.6 | 2,267.6 | 199.3 | 137.9 | 337.2 | 8.8% | 665.8 | |
| 35 | TOTAL EXPENDITURES | 482.1 | 6,303.6 | 6,442.6 | 199.3 | 137.9 | 337.2 | 3.1% | 680.8 | |
| 36 | REVENUES | | 0,000.0 | 0,1.12.0 | | 107.0 | 00.12 | - | 000.0 | |
| 37 | Interest Earnings | 48.4 | 35.5 | 35.5 | 38.7 | - | 38.7 | 109.0% | 30.1 | |
| 38 | State/Fed Aid | - | 600.0 | 600.0 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 39 | Sewer Connection Fees | 5.4 | 108.6 | 108.6 | 26.5 | - | 26.5 | 24.4% | 108.6 | |
| 40 | Other Revenue & Misc Fees | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 41 | Loan Proceed | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 42 | Gain/Loss Capital Asset | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 43 | TOTAL REVENUES | 53.8 | 744.1 | 744.1 | 65.2 | - | 65.2 | 8.8% | 138.7 | |
| 44 | NET OF OPERATION | (428.3) | (5,559.5) | (5,698.5) | (134.1) | (137.9) | (272.0) | | (542.1) | |
| 45 | Beginning Fund Balance | 9,637.5 | 10,399.1 | 10,399.1 | 10,399.1 | . (| 10,399.1 | | 10,399.1 | |
| | Depreciation Expense | 1,289.5 | 1,305.3 | 1,305.3 | 1,210.3 | | 1,210.3 | | 1,315.8 | |
| 47 | PY ACFR Adjustments | 464.8 | | | 634.5 | | 634.5 | | 634.5 | |
| 48 | Transfer to/from O&M | (564.4) | (789.6) | (917.3) | (436.1) | | (436.1) | | (916.4) | |
| 49 | ENDING CAPITAL FUND BALANCE | 10,399.1 | 5,355.3 | 5,088.6 | 11,673.7 | | 11,535.8 | | 10,891.0 | |
| 50 | | | | | | | | | | · |
| 51 | FUND BAL SUMMARY: | | CAP ACQ | REPL | TOTAL | | | | | |
| | BEGIN BALANCE | | - | 10,399.1 | 10,399.1 | | | | | |
| | ACFR ADJUSTMENT | | 634.5 | - | 634.5 | | | | | |
| | NET CY EXPEND | | (665.8) | (15.0) | (680.8) | | | | | |
| | NET CY REVENUE | | 138.7 | - | 138.7 | | | | | |
| | OV DEDDEGLATION | | | 1,315.8 | 1,315.8 | | | | | |
| 56 | CY DEPRECIATION | | | | | | | | | |
| 56 57 | TRANSFER TO/FROM CAP/REPL | | (107.4) | 107.4 | - | | | | | |
| 56 57 58 | | | (107.4) | 107.4 (916.4) 10,891.0 | - (916.4) 10,891.0 | | | | | |


- 5. DIRECTOR'S INFORMATIONAL ITEMS- Provides the opportunity for the Director to present items that are not within the subject matter of the District's Staff Report.
 - o AMI Report

| Month | District 1 | District 19 | District 17 | District 38 |
|--------|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Sep-19 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 |
| Oct-19 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 26 |
| Nov-19 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 30 |
| Dec-19 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 31 |
| Jan-20 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 32 |
| Feb-20 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 35 |
| Mar-20 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 35 |
| Apr-20 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 35 |
| May-20 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 35 |
| Jun-20 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 37 |
| Jul-20 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 39 |
| Aug-20 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 41 |
| Sep-20 | 0 | 0 | 83 | 49 |
| May-21 | 2 | 0 | 103 | 60 |
| Sep-21 | 4 | 2 | 117 | 69 |
| Oct-21 | 4 | 2 | 117 | 73 |
| Nov-21 | 4 | 2 | 120 | 74 |
| Dec-21 | 6 | 2 | 120 | 76 |
| Jan-22 | 7 | 2 | 121 | 78 |
| Feb-22 | 168 | 16 | 128 | 88 |
| Mar-22 | 189 | 19 | 136 | 95 |
| Apr-22 | 203 | 24 | 138 | 98 |
| May-22 | 214 | 26 | 139 | 98 |
| Jun-22 | 337 | 36 | 149 | 112 |
| Jul-22 | 475 | 44 | 163 | 128 |



- 5. DIRECTOR'S INFORMATIONAL ITEMS- Provides the opportunity for the Director to present items that are not within the subject matter of the District's Staff Report.
 - o District 1 Historic, Recent, and Emergency Water Goals

| | 2021 | 2022 | YOY |
|------|------|------|------|
| JAN | 143 | 94 | -34% |
| FEB | 158 | 161 | 2% |
| MAR | 155 | 152 | -2% |
| APR | 218 | 154 | -30% |
| MAY | 210 | 170 | -19% |
| JUN | 238 | 162 | -32% |
| JUL | 249 | 0 | |
| AUG | 234 | 0 | |
| SEPT | 207 | 0 | |
| OCT | 167 | 0 | |
| NOV | 154 | 0 | |
| DEC | 93 | 0 | |



| Count of NOV 1st Notice Column Labels 🔻 | | | |
|---|----------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Row Labels | NOV 1st Notice | NOV 2nd Notice | Grand Total |
| VCWWD No. 1 | 413 | 13 | 426 |
| VCWWD No. 17 | 82 | | 82 |
| VCWWD No. 38 | 87 | 2 | 89 |
| Grand Total | 582 | 15 | 597 |

6. COMMITTEE MEMBERS COMMENTS/FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

7. ADJOURNMENT

The next regularly scheduled District 1 CAC meeting will be held on September 8, 2022